TOWN OF CORTLANDT

PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567

July 25, 2023

6:30 p.m. - 8:42 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Steven Kessler, Chairman

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairman

Kevin Kobasa, Member

Peter McKinley, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, AICP, Director of Planning
Michael J. Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney
Joseph Fusillo, P.E., Planning Board Engineer

1	July 25, 2023
2	(The board meeting commenced at 6:30 p.m.)
3	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: Thank you all for
4	coming. We're going to get started. Please rise
5	for the pledge.
6	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
7	flag of the United States of America and to the
8	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
9	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
10	all.
11	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Chris, roll
12	please.
13	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Kobasa?
14	MR. KEVIN KOBASA: Here.
15	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Hildinger?
16	MS. HILDINGER: Here.
17	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
18	MR. KESSLER: Here.
19	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
20	MR. THOMAS BIANCHI: Here.
21	MR. KEHOE: Mr. McKinley?
22	MR. PETER MCKINLEY: Here.
23	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder and Mr.
24	Douglas noted as absent.

ĺ	.
1	Page 4 July 25, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: So again, welcome. We have
3	one change to the agenda this evening, and that
4	is, under all business, PB number 2023-2, the
5	application of Jenny Thomas of JJM Summit Realty.
6	They've asked for us to remove this from the
7	agenda this evening, and we'll do so. May I
8	please have a motion to remove that from the
9	agenda?
10	MR. BIANCHI: So moved.
11	MR. KESSLER: Second?
12	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
13	MR. KESSLER: On the question? All in
14	favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Thank you, motion
17	please to adopt the minutes from our meeting of
18	June 6th.
19	MR. KOBASA: So moved.
20	MR. KESSLER: Second?
21	MS. HILDINGER: I second.

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

MR. KESSLER: Thank you, on the

question. All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

22

23

24

July 25, 2023		July	25,	2023
---------------	--	------	-----	------

favor?

MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Alright, first
item tonight under correspondence is the letter
received by the planning department on June 29,
2023 from Rebecca Policello of Teatown
transmitting the Cliffdale Meadow Revegetation
report as required by Planning Board Resolution
4-21.

MR. MCKINLEY: Motion to receive the new file.

MR. KESSLER: Second please.

MR. BIANCHI: Second.

MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Our next item under correspondence is a letter dated June 20, 2023 from David Steinmetz updating the planning board on the status of the VS Construction Contractor's Yard at 180 Roa Hook Road. Mr. Steinmetz, good evening.

MR. STEINMETZ: Good evening. Good
Evening. Mr. Kessler, Mr. Chairman, and members
of the board. We are here, Mr. Chairman, as I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

indicated in my correspondence, simply to request a renewal and reissuance of the special use permit for VS Construction to operate a contractor's yard on the Roa Hook Road property, as well as an extension of the site plans that had been also approved several years ago.

As, as you may know, Mr. Chairman, and some of the board members may know, I was not originally involved in this application, but I have been brought in by the Santuccis and VS. I am working with Dan Ciarcia, our project engineer. I have been brought up to speed on some of the prior materials that were generated by staff, in particular, a memo from the engineering department. We are working on responding to some of those comments. And most importantly, staff is aware that since this application has last been before your board, the New York State DEC did issue a formal registration to VS in connection with the processing operation on the property. That was one of the critical conditions or critical preconditions in, in Mr. Preziosi's letter.

1

23

24

2	So there are a number of other technical
3	items that we need to address, but the site has
4	been in operation for several years. It's
5	continuing in operation presently, and we'd like
6	to simply get a reissuance and a renewal. And we
7	from what I understand from staff, we'll be
8	coming back in probably in October, Chris, to
9	continue the review of the application.
10	MR. KESSLER: So Mr. Steinmetz, your
11	letter says you're working to satisfy all the
12	conditions. How many conditions have you met so
13	far?
14	MR. STEINMETZ: I can't answer that off
15	the cuff.
16	MR. KESSLER: I think there were 12
17	conditions in the resolution originally. So what
18	we talked about this at the work session, so we
19	approved this, almost three years ago.
20	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
21	MR. KESSLER: The resolution, you're
22	entitled to two extensions.

MR. KESSLER: The second extension would

MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.

1 July 25, 2023 expire in October? So the intent here is to give 2 you the retroactive extensions to expire in three 3 4 months. 5 MR. STEINMETZ: Understood. And we will be back before you, we will probably be making a 6 7 submission in September. We will make a submission in September, and either we will ask 8 9 for modification of those conditions, or we will have demonstrated satisfaction. 10 11 MR. KESSLER: Okay. That, that would be 12 helpful if you would tell us what conditions are 13 14 MR. STEINMETZ: Yes. MR. KESSLER: -- what conditions are 15 16 still outstanding. 17 MR. STEINMETZ: Understood. Just, just 18 so that -- so you and the board are all aware 19 there was a series of 12 conditions, but there 20 was a rather lengthy additional memo that was 21 generated by DOTS, which is far more complex than 22 the conditions. 2.3 MR. KESSLER: There was limits on how 2.4 much rock crushing you could do, right?

have needed to occur. So all I can tell you, sir,

is that it's happening now, and I will make sure

23

24

1	July 25, 2023
2	that it
3	MR. BIANCHI: Well, I, I would expect by
4	October that all 12 conditions in one way or
5	another
6	MR. STEINMETZ: Will either be addressed
7	or modified.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Because I'm reluctant to
9	go any further than that
10	MR. STEINMETZ: Understood.
11	MR. BIANCHI: until I see that
12	progress, right.
13	MR. KESSLER: Ultimately, that would
14	mean a re-approval.
15	MR. STEINMETZ: Understood.
16	MR. KESSLER: I mean a, a reapplication
17	a new application.
18	MR. STEINMETZ: That's fine.
19	MR. KESSLER: Okay, with that
20	understanding. Okay, so any other questions? If
21	not, Peter?
22	MR. MCKINLEY: Sure. Make a motion to
23	extend two retroactive time extensions, which
24	will expire October 6, 2023 for the VS

1	July 25, 2023
2	Construction Contractor's Yard at 180 Roa Hook
3	Road.
4	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
5	MR. KOBASA: Second.
6	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
7	MR. KESSLER: On the question?
8	MR. KEHOE: So just on the question,
9	that will be in incorporated into Resolution 8-23
10	23. It's a bureaucratic resolution. It will be
11	prepared and certified and sent out.
12	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. STEINMETZ: You'll send us a copy of
14	that
15	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
16	MR. STEINMETZ: bureaucratic
17	resolution, Chris?
18	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
19	MR. KESSLER: We were on the question,
20	all in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
23	MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you.
24	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Next item is a

2.3

2.4

resolution. It's the application of Pomona

Development for preliminary plat approval and for steep slope wetland and tree removal permits for a proposed three-lot major subdivision of an approximately 16.78 acre parcel of property located on the south side of Revolutionary Road 500 feet south of Eaton Lane. Drawings latest revised April 26, 2023. Nora?

MS. HILDINGER: I make a motion to refer back and prepare resolution.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Second, please.

MR. BIANCHI: Second.

MR. KESSLER: On the question? Chris.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, Jim Annicchiarico from Cronin Engineering is supposed to be here. I guess he's not here yet. He'll be here later for another case. This requires an extension of the 62-day clock for the planning board to approve it. We did receive an email from the applicant consenting to that 62 days, so I just want to put that on the record.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. And, and, and we still -- what's outstanding still is some wetland

1 July 25, 2023 2 mitigation --3 MR. KEHOE: Yes, yes. MR. KESSLER: -- issues? 4 5 MR. KEHOE: Right. And the, and the extension would take you to the day past the next 6 7 meeting, which is September 6th. MR. KESSLER: Okay. So with the, the 8 9 written approval of the applicant to extend the clock, we'll refer this back. We're on the 10 11 question. So all in favor? 12 MULTIPLE: Aye. 13 MR. KESSLER: Opposed? All right. The 14 next item is an adjourned public hearing. One second, the application of Bilal Ahmad for the 15 16 property of Ace Sport Realty Holding Corporation 17 for site development plan approval and for steep 18 slope tree removal and wetland permits for 19 proposed five-story, 93 room hotel for property 20 located at 2054 East Main Street, drawings latest 21 revised July 19, 2023. Good evening, Mr. 22 Steinmetz again. 2.3 MR. STEINMETZ: Good evening, Mr. 24 Chairman, again, members of the board, David

2.4

Steinmetz from the law firm of Zarin and
Steinmetz. I'm pleased to be here tonight
representing Bilal Ahmad and our hotel
application. I'm joined tonight by my colleague
Brian Sinsabaugh, Peter Gregory and Matt
Steinberg from DTS Provident, our project
engineers, I believe virtually on screen and
Michael or Chris can confirm this for me, should
be Christian Freeman, our landscape architect
from Keplinger Freeman.

MR. STEINMETZ: We've been before the board numerous times. We've made several presentations. We're here on a continuation of the public hearing, so I'm going to try to be brief and not go through, Mr. Chairman, everything that we have previously submitted as part of our public hearing presentation. In summary, our client is looking to build a four story 93-room, or 93 key, hotel on the subject property. It is an as of right use on the site. I think all of us, actually everyone here has seen the property. We all did a site inspection together several months ago. This is proposed to

2.3

2.4

be a Marriott Hotel, a Marriott Courtyard with a variety of amenities, a restaurant, an outdoor courtyard deck, a fitness center.

The site, as we all know from having walked it, has some topographic challenges from Route 6 going into the site. However, as a result of some creative grading and some retaining walls, the site will be able to be functional. It has been reviewed by the fire department, by the building department, and we actually think the site lays out quite well.

We last appeared before your board on June 6th. We received a number of comments from you that we have attempted to address. First and foremost there has been some attention paid to the, the wetlands. Everyone will recall that there is a wetland and stream area in front of the property. We spent a great deal of time looking at that. Your consultant, Paul Jaehnig, has been out to the site, with our engineers, fairly recently.

We also have Danna Cuneo from B. Laing Associates. She has been working to analyze the

2.0

2.3

2.4

functional assessment of the wetlands and submit additional material. And she has also been to the site with the arborist that the town has retained. Peter Gregory has been working with Mr. Fuccillo and his firm to address his comments and Mike Preziosi's comments and if they need to be addressed tonight, we certainly can speak to those.

We have submitted to your board some revised site plans. You all ask questions last time about what would be visible and some of the neighbors did as well, on the roof of this building. So we have submitted a roof plan with detailed explanation of the roof, the parapet, the HVAC and the various items that are on the roof.

We've also addressed some of the sustainability questions. Mr. Douglas in particular, and I discussed last time, the number of EV charging stations and whether they could be located more proximate to the building. The number of EV or electric, electric vehicle charging stations have been increased to a total

of six. They have been brought closer to the building, near the dumpster enclosure and visible from the front entrance. So we have placed them in a location that makes much more sense. We appreciate the board's comment on that.

We have updated the landscaping plan in response to comments that were received from SavATree. And, and Matt Steinberg, if you wish can help walk us through maybe with Christian's help online, we're now proposing the extensive landscaping of 72 new trees, 225 shrubs, 387 perennials and grasses. So we are attempting to do what you all asked in terms of providing some attractive landscaping.

We did do, and I should state again for the record, a visual analysis that we shared with you at the last session. We believe the site is minimally visible from our surrounding neighbors. We took shots and visual analysis from multiple vantage points that were requested by the town. We shared those with you and walked through them last time.

The other thing that I would mention,

256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

the landscaping that has been proposed is minimal maintenance landscaping. It is a requirement of Marriott that they try to achieve net zero value chain greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. So, in addition to our client wanting to be sustainable and your board telling us you want to see this thing, if it gets approved as a sustainable site, Marriott has that as its own corporate policy. So the solar panels on the roof are part of the sustainability program and part of Marriott's encouragement. We are intending to provide a minimum of 30 percent of our energy needs on site by our own solar panels, as well as some requirements for hot water to be heated through thermal collectors. The EV charging stations I've already mentioned.

And interestingly enough, the plants that are being installed would have minimal maintenance and therefore minimal irrigation, requiring less water demand. And while it may sound like something small for those of us who have been to hotels over the last several decades, instead of those plastic containers with

2.3

the little shampoo and the little conditioner and the little moisturizer that everybody sees, and had seen in their hotel rooms, historically, Marriott has a requirement that these now be the larger devices inside the showers so that people are not leaving with them and we are not throwing out plastic every single time somebody spends one evening in a hotel room. And that, again, is a Marriott policy.

We are happy, Mr. Chairman, to walk through the plans, if you wish, we've done this previously. We're happy to share more detail on the drainage, the landscaping. I should mention there has been some modification of the exterior wall that faces the Bear Mountain Parkway. That was also requested. It came up with your board. It came up with, I believe the ARC as well. There have been fenestration or window cuts on that side of the building to break up the wall itself. So we think the building remains attractive and is in that instance less, there's less of a mass of one solid structure.

It's a high quality attractive building.

2.3

2.4

This is a use, again, that's as of right. We think there's a strong need and demand for this use in the town. We have been supported by the business community. We're very pleased that we have. And we're here this evening to conduct, and we would like to close the public hearing should your board be so inclined.

We were told at the last meeting that you might have staff drafting a proposed resolution. I don't know whether that occurred and we're happy to answer any questions that you may have.

MR. KESSLER: Just one question on the landscaping. There was an issue about you wanting to plant trees in the DOT right of way by Bear Mountain. Is that part, when you rattled off the number of trees did that -- was that area included in that?

MR. STEINMETZ: Matt or Brian, does anybody know whether my -- the number that I have of 200 and -- 72 trees and 225 shrubs, are any of those in the DOT right of way? Those are all on our property.

2.3

2.4

MR. KEHOE: Right? We, we mentioned that at the work session. Our arborist went out there and said some trees that originally you thought could be preserved had to come down. And I think your new plans reflect those trees having to come down.

MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.

MR. KEHOE: And he made a recommendation that to further buffer from the Bear Mountain Parkway, additional planting should be done. But that would need to be done in the New York State DOT right of way, where we would need their permission. So correct me if I'm wrong, Matt, you don't show any new plantings in the New York State DOT right of way?

MR. STEINBERG: Right. We do not go show tat.

MR. KEHOE: Right. So we -- that would have to be worked into an approving resolution to get some sort of approval from the DOT.

And certainly should the, should the planning board in the town require that, we would ask that the planning board and the town assist

2.3

in encouraging DOT to grant that use permit for us to install them.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. But at the very least, I think you should contact them about the ability for you to do that.

MR. STEINMETZ: Understood.

MR. BIANCHI: I guess, a question, since you brought up the large facade wall facing the, Bear Mountain Parkway, what will you submit to have our architectural advisory board look at what you've modified so they can agree to it?

MR. STEINMETZ: Absolutely. Brian, have we submitted that, that actual architectural plan or can you or Christian address that? If anybody knows. We, we will, we will certainly Mr. Bianchi be submitting the plan that I know I've seen internally. Has that not been made part of the record?

MR. SINSABAUGH: It has not.

MR. STEINMETZ: Okay. So, so we will, we will be submitting -- I, I, I appreciate the question. I thought that, that, that had been submitted. It may have been generated after the

1	July 25, 2023
2	submission deadline for this July 25th meeting.
3	We have an elevation of that side of the building
4	with those windows or that fenestration that I
5	that I have discussed. So that will be made part
6	of the record, that will go to Art Clements and
7	the ARC as well.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Right. I'd like to get
9	their okay on it.
10	MR. STEINMETZ: Sure.
11	MR. SINSABAUGH: Can, can Christian show
12	their rendering now if he's on Zoom?
13	MR. STEINMETZ: If he's there and he
14	can, that would be great.
15	MR. KEHOE: Let's see if he raises his
16	hand.
17	MR. STEINMETZ: Does anybody know if
18	Christian
19	MR. KEHOE: He's on, he's on the Zoom.
20	MR. STEINMETZ: Alright.
21	MR. KESSLER: Why don't we, it's a
22	public hearing, so why don't we see if anybody
23	from the public wishes to comment on the
24	application. Please come up to the microphone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

State your name and address for the record.

MS. LORRAINE LEVINS: Good evening. Lorraine Levins, 2207 Jacobs Hill Road, Woodcrest at Jacobs Hill Condominiums. First and foremost, I would like to bring to your attention a misstatement in Rick Pezzullo's article in the July 4th - 10th issue of the Northern Westchester Examiner. It stated that, and I quote, several residents who live in the Jacobs Hill affordable senior apartments complex near the property where the hotel would be situated spoke out against the proposal, end quote. Everyone that spoke at the June 6th planning board meeting referenced in that article, including myself, do not reside in that affordable senior apartment complex which is way up Jacobs Hill Road. Each of us that spoke that evening are owners of the 58 fair market value condominiums in buildings one and two at Woodcrest at Jacobs Hill. Our condominium buildings are in very, very close proximity to the hotel that is being proposed. Hence, this is why we have been present at the meetings and

wanting our voices heard.

2.3

2.4

I'm reiterating to all of you some very strong concerns. This will be a Route 6 traffic nightmare, especially coupled with the soon to open mega gas station right across the road. Mr. Baker pointed out when he spoke at the June 6th meeting, that he counted 16 sets of traffic signals, some operational, some not, when exiting the Bare Mountain Parkway on to Route 6 across from the gas station. I took, took the time to count them myself recently. Sure thing, 16 traffic signals. The traffic will further impede the timing and ease of entry and egress to Jacobs Hill Road, which as you've heard time and again, it's one way in and one way out.

What is sure to be a noise issue cannot be ignored. What are the plans for noise abatement should that -- that should be well in place while in advance?

Most importantly, the question remains regarding sufficient water pressure to the very top of Jacobs Hill Road in the event of fire. I don't think this is being taken seriously enough. Has the town of Cortlandt ascertained that there

2.3

2.4

is sufficient pressure at the present time, if needed? Is regularly scheduled hydrant flushing and testing being done?

And once again, we have to ask the question, what attractions, seriously, what attractions would make this a positive welcome addition to this area? If this proposed hotel were to be approved to move forward, could it possibly be repurposed into other types of housing in the event doesn't generate the occupancy and revenue that the developer is relying on?

This is not the appropriate location for the building of a hotel. Please consider that I come before you here tonight on behalf of the homeowners, on behalf of the homeowners, homeowners, and yes, taxpayers in the town of Cortland, not as renters of affordable senior apartments.

This proposed hotel would diminish the real estate value of our homes. Surely you would be opposed to such a construction if it were on your road and close in proximity to your home.

1	July 25, 2023
2	Thank you for the opportunity to address the
3	board this evening. [applause]
4	MR. KESSLER: Anybody else from the
5	public wish to Comment? Good, go ahead.
6	MR. MICHAEL MARKS: My name is Michael
7	Marks, 2102 Jacobs Hill Road. I recently moved
8	there, so I'm just getting up to speed on the
9	project. My questions are as follows. Do we have
10	a full, full environmental impact statement?
11	MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: No, It's
12	MR. MARKS: And the question is, why
13	not?
14	MR. KESSLER: It was determined that one
15	was not needed.
16	MR. MARKS: And how is that determined?
17	MR. KESSLER: By Staff review and
18	consultant review of the property and the, the
19	impacts? I mean, we did do traffic studies. We
20	did do help me out. Chris, what other things
21	have we done?
22	MR. KEHOE: Traffic study, tree
23	inventory, wetland inventory, and visual
24	representations, referral to the Architectural

1	July 25, 2023
2	Review Council, referral to the DOT.
3	MR. KESSLER: It's not, it's not an
4	environmentally sensitive area, except for the
5	wetlands down below.
6	MR. MARKS: I understand. So the, the
7	traffic study, did it include all of the other
8	projects
9	MR. KESSLER: Yes, it did.
10	MR. MARKS: already approved?
11	MR. KESSLER: It, it, it includes all
12	the projects that exist and it, it builds in
13	anticipated traffic for future developments. And
14	we also compared the traffic studies to others
15	that were done for like with Parkland Gas
16	MR. KEHOE: Gasland and Sinclair.
17	MR. KESSLER: Gasland, thank you,
18	Gasland.
19	MR. MARKS: And, And how far out was
20	that traffic study done on 6, for instance?
21	MR. KESSLER: We, we had, we had the
22	whole traffic study, presented at the last
23	meeting. You mean how many intersections? They
24	probably went down to

1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. MARKS: No, no. Out on 6, both east
3	and west, how far out did it go? What street
4	intersections?
5	MR. KESSLER: I don't recall, but I
6	would imagine it went down towards Burger King
7	and probably down towards the town center.
8	MR. MARKS: That's it?
9	MR. KEHOE: Well
10	MR. MARKS: That's, that's Peekskill.
11	MR. KESSLER: And, and then also north
12	south as well.
13	MR. MARKS: Right. And on this north and
14	south ends, that went down to 202 and
15	MR. KESSLER: I, I, we'd have to find
16	the traffic study and see.
17	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The applicant's
18	engineers can present on that too, since there
19	was a, a formal presentation last meeting. But we
20	also have some engineers who are here on behalf
21	of the applicant, so they can answer your
22	questions as well.
23	MR. MARKS: I'm sorry, I didn't hear
24	that.

2.4

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We -- there are some people from the engineering team of the applicant here, so they can answer those questions specifically about what they studied.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, I, I, I have it here. The traffic study included the following five intersections, 6 and Jacobs Hill Road, 6 and and Bear Mountain Parkway eastbound ramps and the approved Gasland access, Route 6 at Bear Mountain Parkway westbound ramps and approved Palisades access, another gas station there; Jacob Jacobs Hill Road and South Plaza Access, and Jacobs Hill Road and North Plaza access.

MR. MARKS: That seems rather limiting to me. With all the flow on Route 6 now, I suspect you're going to find diversions over Oregon Road as a result of the overflow that I project of traffic on 6, I project.

MR. KESSLER: Well, yeah, but we had our experts project that and they did not see any deterioration in service on 6. And keep in mind also that there is a major change taking place on Route 6 in terms of the adaptive traffic lights

so that they'll all be now timed so that they monitor the traffic and, and, fire up, if you will, to keep the traffic moving. So, and so that goes all the way from Peekskill border all the way down past the Cortland Town Center. All those traffic lights are now going to be adaptive traffic lights.

MR. MARKS: I understand what understand I, I've seen many times where DOT changes the lighting and it's staggered. So it can see a lot of problems if they change it.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, but this is adaptive. I think that this isn't just setting the timing on the lights. This is actually monitoring the traffic.

MR. MARKS: Okay.

MR. KESSLER: And setting the timing on the lights.

MR. MARKS: Have you considered a separate road off Bear Mountain Parkway into the hotel?

MR. KESSLER: We have not. You know, it is not -- it is, it is state property. It would

	Dogo 3
1	Page 3 July 25, 2023
2	take relief off 6.
3	MR. KESSLER: I, I understand, but it's
4	state property.
5	MR. KEHOE: That, that would have to be
6	addressed by the applicant. I, I spoke with you
7	today.
8	MR. MARKS: Yeah.
9	MR. KEHOE: And that, that is a question
10	and I, I'm not sure. I mean, we can't really
11	answer, but it would be I'm not sure you can get
12	another access off of the Parkway, but that would
13	be DOT's call.
14	MR. MARKS: Well, even if you ran it
15	where they're currently doing the project on the
16	exit, even if you had a sign right off there
17	feeding into the hotel, it would take some relief
18	off 6.
19	MR. KEHOE: Meaning you're coming
20	eastbound off of the Bear Mountain Parkway on
21	that ramp?
22	MR. MARKS: Correct.
23	MR. KESSLER: But there's a right turn
24	lane there now, or is is that

July	25,	2023
------	-----	------

1

24

2	MR. MARKS: That's right. So if you had
3	a feed off right from that point right into the
4	hotel, it would make sense.
5	MR. KESSLER: But you make the right
6	turn and you turn right into to
7	MR. MARKS: You got the idea, the sign
8	is going to say immediately first right, hotel.
9	MR. KESSLER: Oh, coming off of 6 and
10	make the first right? Is that what you're saying?
11	MR. MARKS: Yeah, as you come off the
12	exit, there's going to be a sign to say, go, go
13	immediately into the hotel on the first right.
14	MR. BIANCHI: I think the applicant
15	would've to answer that. There's topographic
16	issues with that
17	MR. KEHOE: Well, but I, I guess the
18	question is, are you saying that you would
19	actually get on Route 6 and then take your first
20	right into the hotel?
21	MR. MARKS: Not Route 6, Bear Mountain.
22	MR. KEHOE: Okay. Well, that's
23	different. To Mr. Bianchi's point, the hotel is

going to be significantly higher than Route 6. So

1	July 25, 2023
2	topographically, that connection wouldn't work.
3	MR. MARKS: I don't see why not. It's
4	right at the same level.
5	MR. KESSLER: Once they're done
6	constructing it, it's
7	MR. KESSLER: Oh, you, you want another
8	entrance going over the wetland? At the bottom
9	at, at
10	MR. MARKS: At the exit, at the exit.
11	MR. KESSLER: So as soon as you, soon as
12	you get off onto 6, you'd turn right in?
13	MR. MARKS: Not 6, talking about route -
14	- off
15	MR. KESSLER: Bear Mountain.
16	MR. MARKS: the Bear Mountain
17	Parkway.
18	MR. KESSLER: Oh, never making
19	MR. STEINMETZ: Before you get to 6.
20	MR. MARKS: Before you get to 6, an
21	immediate right off the as you go into the
22	exit.
23	MR. STEINMETZ: Brad can speak to it,
24	Mr. Chairman, when he's done.

1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: Sure, sure. Okay.
3	MR. MARKS: Say again.
4	MR. KESSLER: Let the applicant address
5	that when you're done.
6	MR. MARKS: Fair enough. Now on the exit
7	is, it's currently planned to go into Jacobs Hill
8	Road, correct?
9	MR. KESSLER: Yes.
10	MR. MARKS: Is there not feasibility of
11	having a road below, just before that on 6,
12	feeding into 6 alone?
13	MR. KESSLER: Well, again, we'll have
14	them address that, but I think there's a wetland
15	there that, that creates issues.
16	MR. MARKS: All right. Have you already
17	looked at the impact on an Annsville hotel that I
18	understand is in the prospect of being
19	introduced?
20	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The Annsville potential
21	hotel is much more speculative. There isn't even
22	sewer capacity there at this point.
23	MR. MARKS: And there won't be any
24	relationship to Marriott in any way?

	Do 3
1	Page 3 July 25, 2023
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Not that I've heard.
3	MR. MARKS: Okay. How about the
4	overflow? I don't, I don't know how else to
5	define it, but what they call, what you would
6	call banking space for parking. That banking
7	space, is there enough when there's a huge
8	conference in the hotel?
9	MR. KESSLER: We'll have, we'll have
10	them address that.
11	MR. MARKS: I mean, I don't know what
12	the factor is, but there has to be one.
13	MR. KESSLER: There's a parking
14	requirement for a hotel and they meet the parking
15	requirements for a hotel. I mean that's the best
16	I can say.
17	MR. MARKS: We're talking about a
18	conference as well, so.
19	MR. KESSLER: But the requirement takes
20	into account, I think all aspects enough of
21	MR. MARKS: Okay. Fair enough.
22	MR. KESSLER: events.
23	MR. MARKS: I think I'm not finished,

but I do believe that further public dis- --

24

1	July	25,	2023

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

MR. KESSLER: By the way, I don't think they have like a ballroom to do conferences and things. That's not proposed at this hotel, you know.

MR. MARKS: So, so it's just going to be meetings?

MR. KESSLER: It's just going to be rooms and a small restaurant is my understanding.

MR. MARKS: Fair enough.

MR. KEHOE: There, there, there may be a conference room or two, but --

MR. KOBASA: Not a trade show.

MR. KEHOE: Yes.

MR. MARKS: I, I do believe though, that with all of these questions arising, that we should have further discourse, further public discourse beyond this, despite Mr. Steinman's, request. What sound levels have we introduced? do we have in the studies, sound levels, decibels?

MR. KEHOE: Just, just typically you would ask the questions. It, it, it's not a back and forth with the board. You would state your

1	July 25, 2023
2	concerns and questions and the applicant would
3	have to answer them. The board doesn't have to
4	answer the questions, then
5	MR. MARKS: Then let's
б	MR. KEHOE: you should put them on
7	the record and then the applicant would
8	MR. KESSLER: They're taking notes.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: Yes.
10	MR. MARKS: Alright.
11	MR. KESSLER: Are you taking notes?
12	MR. MARKS: I, I'm also concerned about
13	the time of deliveries of the jack hammers in
14	the, from the construction crew, what time they
15	go in, etc. Maybe that can be suggested as well
16	by the applicant. Have you considered a mini
17	master plan in view of all these projects that
18	are going in?
19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, the town adopted
20	a master plan in 2016.
21	MR. MARKS: You're staying with that and
22	no, no other master plan?
23	MR. KEHOE: Well, the master plan in
24	2016, specifically directed development to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Route 6 corridor, given the fact that it has the utilities, the infrastructure, the wide highway. So this, this plan is in conformance with the master plan. Now, one thing that the town has done is given the preponderance of gas stations, they have changed the code to permit no more gas stations on Route 6. You know, one could argue that's after the horse is out of the barn, but the, you know, they did take into account all of the gas stations and change the code to not permit additional ones. But the master plan has four areas where they, the town board, in 2016 wanted to direct development Annsville, the waterfront, the Medical district, and Cortlandt Boulevard, Route 6.

MR. MARKS: Thank you, Chris. The, the five story feature, I thought it was -- the applicant suggested it was four stories. I thought it was five stories. Are we including the basement as well?

MR. KESSLER: It, it, It's been determined it's five story.

MR. MARKS: Oh, okay. Now Ace Sports

1	July 25, 2023
2	Realty, they I heard originally the project
3	was introduced by Ace Sports Realty. Now I hear
4	it's Ahmad. What, what is the, is there a
5	different owner?
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The, the medical
7	oriented district, the MOD is on 202.
8	MR. MARKS: I'm sorry?
9	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The Medical oriented
10	district, did you say the mod?
11	MR. MARKS: A-H-M-A-D. That's what I
12	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Oh, oh, Ahmad. Sorry.
13	MR. MARKS: What is
14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I believe he's the
15	contract vendee and Ace Sports Realty is the
16	actual owner.
17	MR. MARKS: But only one can introduce
18	it.
19	MR. KEHOE: Well, ACE Sport Realty owns
20	the property and Mr. Ahmad is under contract to
21	purchase it from ACE Sport Realty.
22	MR. MARKS: So you're taking in
23	executory contracts as part of the, of the of
24	the project?

1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Well, the, the attorney can
3	address that.
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: What do you mean by
5	that?
6	MR. MARKS: Executory means it hasn't
7	been in, it hasn't been perfected.
8	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, there, I think
9	there could be a valid contract. It would just be
10	the money being paid and actual owner should be
11	contingent upon some event. I, I think it's a
12	I understand it to be a perfectly valid contract.
13	MR. MARKS: Stipulated agreement. Okay,
14	I understand. Now, is there a Mr. Cunningham on
15	the board?
16	MR. KESSLER: No.
17	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm not on the board.
18	I'm, I'm here.
19	MR. KESSLER: He's our counsel. He's our
20	attorney.
21	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm, I'm the lawyer.
22	MR. MARKS: Alright. Does he vote in
23	these matters? Not at all? Thank you. Oh, you
24	also, you have a hotel tax that was introduced by

1	July 25, 2023
2	the town council already to the state, almost
3	suggesting and perhaps even exerting some
4	pressure on you people to approve it. Why would
5	that have happened?
6	MR. KESSLER: I, I don't, I don't think
7	it's a town board issue. We have nothing to do
8	with that.
9	MR. MARKS: Well, why would they
10	introduce a hotel tax request?
11	MR. KESSLER: You telling me that is the
12	first time I've heard that. The town board makes
13	their decisions, the planning board deals in
14	different perspective.
15	MR. MARKS: I thank you very much.
16	MR. KESSLER: Thank You.
17	MR. MARKS: Yeah.
18	MR. KESSLER: Anybody, before we have
19	those items addressed, anybody else? [applause]
20	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, sure, come on up
21	sir.
22	MR. ROBERT MOON: Okay. My name is
23	Robert Moon. I live at 1107 Jacobs Hill. Can you
24	turn the microphone down a little bit? There you

1	July 25, 2023
2	go. I live at 1107 Jacobs Hill Road in Woodcrest.
3	And I am an owner. I, now that we know it's five
4	stories, not four, was a variance needed to build
5	that because I'm a newly owner there.
б	MR. KESSLER: The variance was done by
7	the zoning board.
8	MR. MOON: I'm just asking, was a
9	variance needed for that?
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: A variance is needed.
11	It has not been granted yet.
12	MR. MOON: Okay. I'm just asking.
13	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Sure. No, No, no. I
14	know. And I'm just explaining.
15	MR. MOON: I'm newly here.
16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's a separate board
17	from this board.
18	MR. MOON: Have you considered anything
19	about the entrance on Jacobs Hill from Route 6?
20	Because in the past three or four months, the way
21	that entrance is with that little island and the
22	trees, nobody can see which way to go. I've been
23	hit almost three times by people coming up the
24	wrong way.

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

the fire service forever, is there room around

MR. MOON: Okay. And since I've been in

2.3

24

2.3

the whole building for apparatus to get around the whole building adequately? Because I've seen in the past, in my previous town, I was on the board and they only had a six foot drive around. We couldn't fit a ladder back there. So you're at a lost or fire service and we wouldn't sign off on that matter. And, I'd just like to end with, since all the plans you asked are not presented here, how can we close the session tonight if you don't have the plans? What else we're doing with the planting and this and that, that the developer wants to do?

MR. KESSLER: We, we do have the landscape plan. I thought he just said, he's going to have to provide some plans for something.

MR. KEHOE: The, the new elevation closest to the Bear Mountain Parkway.

MR. KESSLER: Right. Just have the building -- one aspect of the building, how it's going to look. That that's the only piece we're missing.

MR. MOON: But before closing, just to

1 July 25, 2023 make sure that everything is within standards. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. MOON: And, as to your other 4 MR. KESSLER: 5 question, we refer all applications to the fire department for them to review the site plan to 6 7 make sure that the apparatus can safely maneuver around the building. 8 9 MR. MOON: I'm just, just asking, that's 10 all. 11 MR. KESSLER: No, I'm just saying. But 12 no, it's a good point. And all I'm saying is that 13 we have, you know, the fire department look at 14 that to make sure that they're comfortable with 15 design. 16 MR. MOON: Okay. Thank you. 17 MR. KESSLER: Thank you. 18 Ms. COLEEN SIDORIK: Hi, my name is 19 20 21

22

2.3

24

Colleen Sidorik. I am a homeowner. I live at 1107 Jacobs Hill Road, Woodcrest. I just want to say I am not a retiree living in affordable housing. I am very much an active working nurse, okay. I love my home. One of the things that attracted me to Jacobs Hill was the quietness, the property,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

the landscaping, the trees. It, it was a plus that you could live up there and in two minutes, be down to Route 6, get to all your shopping, and, and you, you never even realized how close you were to all the mayhem. I want to reiterate everything that was said here tonight, I can say my concerns all over again. But you've heard it before. You've heard it multiple times before, the noise, the air quality, the congestion, the water pressure. I can foresee when they exit out of the hotel, instead of making a left, going up the hill and landing in -- on our property. Now there are two signs. They're very small and they're on the left hand side when you go up Jacob's Hill. You have to literally stop the car to read them. But it says private residence, you know, whatever, whatever. They, they don't work. They're not a deterrent.

Case in point Saturday, we had a couple on motorcycles go up Jacob's Hill, park in our parking lot, to which, where a fight ensued between the two of them, where the male kicked the female's helmet and then slapped her, okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

They left before we could call the police, but this is what we saw Saturday, all right. We fear that traffic coming out of the hotel is going to end up going up the hill, not out, not down the other way.

So I think to Mr. Mark's point about an entrance, not up Jacobs Hill Road, that entrance and exit would certainly be in good order if that is possible to be done. The second thing, if there's any way to put larger signs, you know, when you come to a stop sign, it's clear, stop means stop. You see it, there's no, no questioning it. You know, you need to not go further, if somebody can just maybe think about what we could do about those signs. But I, I, again, to Mr. Mark's point, I really think that entrance -- I think that's what's bothering a lot of us too, is the entrance. Am I correct? Do I have my -- yeah, that's a big part of what's bothering most of us and I, I think that would be helpful.

How far up does it go? Now, King Buffet, I can't really see that too well up there. Is it

1	July 25, 2023
2	parallel to King Buffet or is it, how much
3	further up? Can anybody tell me that? I can't
4	really see that far.
5	MR. KEHOE: Well, this parking lot here
6	is ac is parallel with the upper parking lot of
7	Jacobs Hill. So this is the upper parking lot of
8	the medical buildings, the MRI.
9	MS. SIDORIK: Okay,
10	MR. KEHOE: So it doesn't go any higher
11	
12	MS. SIDORIK: And where will that
13	hotel be?
14	MR. KEHOE: Well the hotel is down here
15	closer to Route 6.
16	MS. SIDORIK: Okay.
17	MR. KEHOE: This is the parking. So, and
18	this would be a retaining wall. So this wall is
19	pretty much equal to the back wall of the upper
20	parking lot of the Pikes Plaza Medical Building.
21	MR. KESSLER: Chris, you want to move it
22	up a little and just show where Route 6 is there?
23	There you go.
24	MR. KEHOE: So here's Route 6. So then

1	July	25,	2023
---	------	-----	------

2.3

when you go up Jacob's Hill, I believe that is the turn into King Buffet, the lower level. This is the turn into sort of the upper level, or you would, you could come down here and I think this is where the Domino's Pizza is down here. So it -

MR. KESSLER: Just point out the hotel entrance there.

MR. KEHOE: Oh, sorry.

MR. STEINMETZ: It's directly across.

MR. KESSLER: Directly across, right.

MS. SIDORIK: Alright. So, okay.

MR. KESSLER: There you go.

MS. SIDORIK: But there's still going to be the noise issues. And I guarantee you we're going to have a lot of through traffic up there. I don't know how you're going to get around that other than to put the entrance more, you know, like off Route 6. So again, just to reiterate, we love our home. We worked very hard, just like you do you love your home, okay. You like the peace and quiet that your home provides you, right. We pay taxes. We work hard. I, I am very happy

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

living at Woodcrest and I want to keep what I had when I first moved in there. Do I have support?

[applause]

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yes. Yes.

MS. SIDORIK: Thank you all for your time.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Sure.

MS. KAREN VOGEL: Hi, I'm Karen Vogel. I live on Floral Road, which is directly across the Bear Mountain from this development. My concern is drainage for one. When they built Jacob Hill, we were residents here. And while I'm sure there were lots of plans for drainage, I welcome anybody here to come to Floral Road on a rainy day. There is a river that runs down our street. In the summer, in the spring, not a big deal. When it's frozen, the bus has almost crashed several times coming up, because I don't know if anybody's familiar with Floral Road. It's a J shape. So you get to the top of that hill where the water is draining, slick ice. So I welcome anybody to come to Floral Road. I live at 15, knock on the door. I'm there. It is a solid sheet

2.3

of ice anytime it rains and in the winter.

MR. KESSLER: So you said it's across the Bear Mountain?

MS. VOGEL: Right across Bear Mountain. It's, you know, as soon as they started building, tearing down the, the trees that were there to build Jacob Hill, it immediately started. And it has been like that for 20 years. We've been here 20, 23 years. Okay. So I welcome anybody to come. I, I'm sure that they thought that they addressed drainage when they built Jacob Hill. And I'm sure you think you're, you know, dealing with it for this project. But I guarantee you it's going to add water to my backyard. Okay. So that's one thing.

There are many accidents at that gas station, right at the, at the overpass, right at Route 6, where Bear Mountain crosses Route 6. I, I think probably 15 to 20, at least two or three deaths since we've moved here. I can't imagine adding more traffic is going to help that situation. The visibility getting off coming east on Bear Mountain to make that left to go to this

2.3

hotel is very difficult. I'm sure everybody here is aware of that. That's, that has to be addressed. The lights that are going to be on this, I'd like to know where the signage for this hotel is going to be. Are they going to be shining right into my back window? My bedroom's in the back of the house. So I'd really like to know if I'm going to be seeing, you know, hotel signs in my, in my window.

So those are the things I'd like to hear being addressed. Drainage, please come visit

Floral Road, because I -- right now I can tell

you there's a little river that's running down

it. Signage, lights and accidents at that, that

intersection, I'd like to hear it resolved. Thank

you. [applause]

MR. KESSLER: Thank You.

MR. BOB BAKER: Good evening. My name is Bob Baker, 1310 Jacobs Hill Road. I think the young lady who just spoke did a very good job with, with the traffic. I, previously to living at Jacobs Hill, we lived on Jerome Drive. We've lived there for over 50 years, right along Route

2.3

2.4

6. I've been to multiple planning board meetings where they've explained how they're going to address the traffic. None of them have ever come true. The traffic is worse no matter what. I don't care what your adaptive traffic lights can do. When the traffic gets backed up from Locust Avenue to Conklin Avenue, you come down Jacobs Hill, you cannot make a left turn. No smart traffic light is going to solve that problem.

My other issue here this evening is security. What kind of security is, you know, like is this hotel going to give? There are certain crimes that come along with that are associated with hotels, particularly car theft and car parts. You know, like is there anything that's going to be done to, you know, to address that issue? Do we know what the security is going to be?

MR. KESSLER: Well, we'll have, we'll have, once you're done, we'll have the applicant address many of these issues.

MR. BAKER: Okay. I just like, yeah, I would like to hear what their --

2.3

MR. KESSLER: Alright, once we hear from everybody, we'll have the applicant --

MR. BAKER: -- security plan is.

MR. KESSLER: Sure.

MR. BAKER: Thanks. [applause]

MR. KESSLER: Thank you.

MS.: Hi. I'm a 50-year resident of
Durrin Avenue, 34 Durrin. So I'm a further a
neighbor of these people. But I'm, I question the
need for a hotel in general, because there is a
hotel on Louisa Street. The Abbey is, you know,
right above where Dr. McGurdy lives or used to
live. And also that proposed hotel on
[unintelligible] [00:48:39]. I can tell you
they're not taking reside reservations because
they're convinced they're going to be a hotel, if
you eat there locally as a, you know, a resident.

I'm also concerned about the traffic. I live off of Oregon Road and I can tell you the traffic diverted from Route 6 impacts me getting out of my street and getting into my street at night because the light on Locust and Durrin and it's backed up from the nursing home. People

2.3

can't always make that turn. I can't get into my street at times and getting out is a problem. So in truth, I would look at further diversions. I mean, the five intersections you looked at may not be enough.

Also, I would just throw this out as a thought. All the lovely sidewalks that are being built, this is now going to create pedestrian traffic. Have you ever seen a poor person trying to cross Route 6 currently? So can you imagine if there really is pedestrian traffic, what the delays will be for the traffic there waiting for people to cross the road?

Also I just would ask in that traffic study, if you considered the effect of sun glare going west, especially, you know, in the evenings, because you really can't see. The, the sun is just horrific. So that would definitely slow people down as well. And just a thought, but should this project not be a success, you know, there are other hotels. We, you know, Courtland already has a number of vacant buildings and vacant spaces. What are the contingent plans?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

What would happen to a vacant five story 93-room structure in, in the area?

And in truth, this is no reflection on all the kind and dedicated people who serve on the board. But I know there were retirements and there were new appointments to the planning board. And I question if anybody was appointed from the Northeast quadrant because we're the ones who live with this traffic on a daily basis. And we are the ones who have to deal with the possible overbuild in the area. And it affects our quality of life. And the reason we moved up here, which is the beautiful open space and the, and the loveliness of the area. So we realize things have to change, but it does seem that this is the quadrant that takes much of the -- much of, you know, this is where they're putting everything and the, the roads just can't support the traffic. And, you know, people moved here because they love this place. So I respectfully submit my comments and I thank you.

MR. KESSLER: Thank You. [applause]
MS. MICHELE MAZERES: Michele Mazeres, I

1

live at 1208 Jacobs Hill Road, Woodcrest. And I 2 just would like to say to the planning board that 3 I realize that people have land and they have the 4 5 right to develop it as the town has codes. Now, if the town has a code that you're not supposed 6 7 to have a five-story building, I don't understand why you're giving, you know, maybe it's not 8 9 you're -- you're the planning board. Maybe it's 10 the, the other board that gave the go-ahead to 11 have the five-story building. I don't think there 12 should be a five-story building. Let the hotel 13 build within the codes of the town. I don't see 14 why we're bending. The town is bending over 15 backwards to get this hotel in that piece of land. There's other pieces of land if Marriott 16 17 needs to have a hotel here so desperately that 18 might accommodate it better than this particular 19 piece of land where it's squeezed in, where you 20 only have one place to exit on Jacobs Hill Road 21 with a lot of senior citizens coming down that 22 road. You have to make a left turn on a road 2.3 that's really not built for having all of that 24 traffic on it.

And the other thing is also the drainage. The drainage, yes, you're building parking lots in a hotel practically on that whole piece of land. And where is the drainage going?

There's never going to be enough drainage so that those people don't have more problems than they're already having. And that's all I want to say. [applause]

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Anybody else wish to talk? Sure.

MR. MARKS: I missed one question --

MR. KESSLER: Sure.

MR. MARKS: -- I'd like to ask. What assurances do you have if approved, that these premises will not be used to house illegal aliens? Do you have any?

MR. KESSLER: No.

MR. MARKS: All right. I suggest that your consideration in the resolution when you finally come up with it, recognize that that illegal aliens are not intended to be in this hotel. So that's a legal, term illegal, as opposed to non-citizens. [applause]

they're not.

2

1

MR. KESSLER: Anybody else?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MS. TONY MCELROY: Hi, my name is Tony Mcelroy and I live in the town of Cortland on Old Oregon Road. I have been here for over 50 years. I have seen progress going forward. I've seen everything going back, where stores are closing. The JV Mall has been bankruptcy. I want to know, I'm coming late to this because of the death of my family. You decided on 93 rooms. Are we going to become a tourist attraction all of a sudden? I mean, there's something going on that I'm not aware of? Why do we need 93 rooms? For who? People are not even getting married anymore. They're eloping, they're just living together. What, why do we warrant a 93-room hotel off Route 6? Is there a reason that I'm not aware of? I mean, I'd love it if it became a tourist attraction because we'd have more business and more beautiful things would be happening, but

What about, have you considered all the trucks that are going to be on Route 6, together with us driving on Route 6, to deliver your

2.3

linens, to deliver your food, to deliver your alcohol? What is that going to mean for us on Route 6? I will not go on Route 6 on the weekend. I will not do it. I think it's I'm taking my life in my hands. Put that on top of it. I won't be even able to go out during the week.

And I do feel bad for people with drainage. I've seen what's happened on Trolley Road because they didn't do proper drainage. People are suffering, and you can't add more to people's sufferings. It's just not right. And you need to fight for us. You really do need to fight for us. [applause]

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Anybody else wish to speak? If not, David, you want to start addressing some of the issues that were raised?

MR. STEINMETZ: Sure. So I'm going to try to address Mr. Chairman and members of the board the issues that, that seem to be salient here and you can certainly ask me to go backwards and hit others. As far as the traffic, several people hit the traffic issue and it's, it's very important and we, we acknowledge that. I think we

2.3

2.4

of us who have been involved in this from the inception, which is even before an application was filed, knew how important it was to deal with the traffic analysis.

The starting point clearly was the rather extensive traffic analysis that the town, the board and staff and outside consultants dealt with, with regard to the gas station. And I appreciate Mr. Chairman, you are referencing the rather substantial adaptive traffic mechanisms that are being implemented and the computer programming that we all learned about on that application.

In addition, I also appreciate the fact that you or others on the board mentioned the additional turning lanes and intersectional improvements that are being made at the Bear Mountain Parkway.

But really most importantly you required and your staff required that our team submit a rather detailed traffic analysis. It did look at accident data. It did look at the -- all of the projects that preceded us. It did analyze the

2.3

2.4

Gasland improvements and it did talk about the traffic that is generated by a 93-room hotel. This is not Marriott's first hotel, nor is it my client's first hotel. And there's tremendous amount of empirical data of the temporal or time distribution of vehicles coming and going from a hotel. It is not 93 cars coming in the peak hour and 93 cars leaving in the PM peak hour.

But most importantly, you hired your own traffic consultant at my client's expense to review our traffic analysis. On December 14, 2022, Martin Evans from HVEA, your consultant wrote a letter to your town planner, Chris Kehoe, acknowledged the methodology that we used in our study, the data that we assembled in our study, the intersections that we assembled in our study. And he and they HVEA, your town consultant, signed off on our study.

So I am pleased to state for the record that it is to the best of my knowledge, our professionals and your professionals' conclusion that there is no significant adverse environmental impact associated with traffic

2.3

2.4

2 associated with the hotel.

Existing conditions on Cortlandt

Boulevard, no question, a challenged street. It's also clear and we learned, and those of us who were at those meetings, learned from the traffic consultants that the adaptive traffic mechanisms that are going to be implemented are designed to reduce what you're currently experiencing.

I also appreciate the comment, and I'm,
I don't know which, which, it was, I think it
was, if I heard the name correctly, Mr. Moon
talked about the traffic island on Jacobs Hill
Road. Everyone who was on our site inspection
knows we saw the wrong way movement coming off of
Route 6. So I, I hope that our neighbors at
Jacobs Hill will be pleased that that condition,
which was presumably created by either the
developer of Pike Plaza or the developer of
Jacobs Hill or both, is going to be rectified by
my client.

So my client is going to be involved in dealing with the landscaping, dealing with the island, and possibly dealing with the

2.0

2.3

2.4

implementation of what we all learned are called cat tracks to stripe the road. And we all know, when I was out there with you walking the side, I said, I hope DOT lets us stripe the road so people do not turn the wrong way into Jacobs Hill Plaza traffic.

Noise, this, this site is going to be required to comply with the town's noise ordinance. The town has a very well established noise ordinance. We know that we have to comply with it both in terms of the operation of the hotel, as well as the construction times of day and the construction noise. So this construction site will be no different from any other construction site in the town. We'll be subject to your jurisdiction and your regulation.

Water pressure, the engineer, our civil engineers are here, I believe Mr. Chairman, that issue was addressed at the last session of the public hearing. The town's engineer knows the sizes of the pipes and the pressure in the pipes, and we have been through that already as part of our environmental assessment form.

2.3

2.4

In terms of land banking parking associated with conferences, we wish that were going to be conferences at this hotel. There are not going to be conference. There's a very small conference room that's a lot smaller than the distance from me to your dais there, that would probably accommodate 15, 20 people sitting around a table. This is not a hotel that one would conduct a conference. It is a 93-key off a small highway hotel. That is what it is designed to be. That is what it will be.

And I very much appreciate Mr. Kehoe, I think saying that this is actually entirely consistent with Envision Cortlandt. One of the reasons that Marriott and my client were attracted to this site is because your town's master plan, your comprehensive plan, called for something like this on the Route 6 Cortlandt Boulevard corridor. You do not have a high quality hotel, in my client's opinion, in the town. And maybe in fact, this will spur development and commerce in the town so that the shuttered and dark stores elsewhere in the town

2.3

2.4

2 will have some degree of vibrancy.

The comment about Ace Sports, I think
Mr. Cunningham addressed it. But I still, I still
want the record to be clear. Even if there's an
executory contract, which has not yet closed,
there's nothing inappropriate about doing a land
use application. In fact, I would say 95 percent
of my land use applications, and I've been doing
this over 30 years, are all predicated upon
development agreements or development contracts
that are not yet closed because deals are
contingent. So there's nothing unlawful, unAmerican. It's allowed, we're here lawfully
processing the application.

Both, I'm proud to say, with the consent of the landowner, Ace Realty -- or Ace Sports, and Mr. Ahmad, the contract vendee. I just wanted the record to be clear. I didn't want anyone to think there was something improper going on between landowner and applicant.

As far as the access off of Route 6, I skipped past that. So the way I understood, Mr. Marks' comments, Mr. Chairman he was trying to

2.3

promote or, or propose the possibility of a quick right off of the exit ramp coming off the Bear Mountain Parkway into the site. I totally understand why he would say that and the fact that he did. I think he may not fully understand your answer about grading. So there is clearly a grading challenge there. And the irony is your board and your staff has been telling our team to landscape that area as much as possible, not to cut a road in through there.

Be that as it may, I believe, and I'm not a traffic consultant, I believe that would create an absolute traffic, serious danger condition on the exit ramp as vehicles are exiting at 60, 50, 45 miles an hour, down to 35 miles an hour hopefully, to hang a quick ride.

Moreover, and again, most importantly, your traffic consultant has already signed off on our tie-in to Jacobs Hill Road.

So we can talk about the off ramp at, at the Bear Mountain Parkway. Not a good idea. We could talk about crossing from Route 6 directly onto the site through a wetland, a DOT easement

2.3

2.4

area. Those of us that walk the site know that's not something that you want to cut into. It would create an environmental impact associated with that stream that DOT created by constructing Route 6.

So we stand by the propriety of a connection to Jacobs Hill Road. Most importantly, you know, your consultants requested that we align the entrance to this hotel directly across from Pike Plaza to form a T-intersection there, so that it would be as safe as it could be, as opposed to possibly putting it at a different location on Jacobs Hill Road.

Moving along, fire access. Fire access, we've been through this already. The fire department, the building department, they have all reviewed this. In fact, we spent a great deal of time making sure, because Marriott requires it, a full 360 degree place of access all around this building. In fact, there's a gentleman who's attended every single one of our meetings, but I don't think he's here tonight, who has raised a number of fire access questions and is a former

2.3

New York City firefighter and addressed us both publicly and took us in the hallway and asked us privately some questions to make sure it would be safe.

There's a comment about closing the hearing before the plan was submitted. I'm going to tell you now what I'm going to say at the end of the meeting and then I'm going to respectfully request that you close the public hearing because this, the second session of the public hearing. This is probably the seventh or eighth time this, this application has been before a board in the town. And if the only thing that you do not have is the picture of the side of the building that shows the fenestration and windows facing the Bare Mountain Parkway, that canon will be something that you will insist upon before you ever possibly vote on a resolution of approval.

So to me, we've, I've been through this drill with you enough. You've made it very clear that you need that. I know that ARC needs to sign off on that. That is not a basis under New York State law to refuse to close the public hearing.

22

2.3

2.4

1

A fascinating comment from Ms. Sidorik about vehicles kind of wandering up to Jacobs Hill Road up, up Jacobs Hill Road. I, I didn't realize how difficult it gets up there. I didn't realize how it was developed up there. And it sounds a little dark and maybe less than navigable, but assuming a hotel patron can't safely find their way out of that and come back down the road, I have two simple comments. One, somebody going to the hotel is probably going to know how to leave the hotel, but let's assume they don't know how to leave the hotel. I think it would be great if you would require a condition on your approval that makes it clear as you exit, you must turn left. So exit Route 6, left turn. I leave it to staff to figure out what that signage looks like, but I certainly don't think my client wants its patrons wandering up into Jacobs Hill. So I think adequate signage should cure that situation.

Drainage conditions that the woman, I didn't get her last name, from Floral Road is experiencing across the Bear Mountain Parkway,

2.3

I, I can only assume that our civil engineers and yours have very carefully drainage. We are not allowed to take our drainage and send it offsite. We have spent a great deal of time, money, and are prepared to spend a lot of money on improvements on site with subsurface drainage features that will handle the drainage associated with our roof, our leaders, and our parking lot. So assuming your engineer and ours did their job correctly, and I have no reason to believe they have not the drainage will be properly addressed.

Security, Marriott does not let us announce publicly the security measures that they require implemented at a hotel. They wouldn't be that secure if we announced everything that was being done. Having said that, there is full-time staff, there are full-time folks at the front desk and all I believe I'm authorized to say, because they're on plans, is that there are security cameras installed in and around the building. So Marriott and our client remain quite confident that it and its patrons should not have car break-ins or issues because they're trying to

2.3

2.4

ensure the safety of this hotel. In addition, and I mean no disrespect to other hotels and other brands, I think the town of Cortland should be really happy that it, it may well get a Courtyard by Marriott, because there are a lot of other brands, and I've heard this from other officials in the town, that the town was hoping this hotel would not be the other brands, that it would be something of this stature.

In terms of demand, I mean the last comment that I, that I have here, my client would not be spending tens of millions of dollars,

Marriott would not be signing off on franchising and permitting its name on this hotel if, if they all had not done the market analysis and concluded that Cortlandt Boulevard, the town of Cortlandt and this immediate area needs and deserves another hotel even with 93 keys.

I can't speak to attractions in the area, though I've seen them in the materials.

There are what others believe are tourist attractions in and around the immediate area.

There are places to go. There are also businesses

2.3

2.4

that would probably very much like to have a decent hotel in the area.

And as someone who lived in the area for over 20 years and had large gatherings of family, there was never a really good hotel to send family to. You had to send them typically down to Mount Kisco. That predates the Peekskill hotel.

So personally I think that's why your Chamber of Commerce has been supportive of this and why your Envision Cortlandt master plan has been supportive.

In sum, we are really pleased that this is an as of right application. It's already been concluded by the town that this is zoned appropriately for a hotel. We are really pleased that we processed in front of the zoning board, though we did not yet get a vote, and they understood why we designed the hotel the way they did. And I apologize at the outset. I called it a four-story hotel. I know better. It's four stories on top of a basement, which your building inspector has concluded constitutes a fifth story. Unfortunately, my notes from my first

1 July 25, 2023 2 appearance, which I cut and paste into in my intro, still refer to it as a four-story 3 building. I stand corrected. It is technically 4 5 under the town's code, a five story building. There are only four stories of rooms. So it's 6 7 really only a four story hotel with some fitness center stuff below, but it's a five story 8 9 building. So I apologize for those folks who --10 MR. KESSLER: David, I I'm glad you 11 addressed pretty much everything, one, one last 12 issue that was raised in terms of lighting. 13 MR. STEINMETZ: Lighting. 14 MR. KESSLER: Lighting? MR. STEINMETZ: Lighting around the site 15 16 itself? 17 MR. KESSLER: Yes, yes. 18 MR. STEINMETZ: I actually, I believe 19 we've reflected on the plan, the lights that we 20 propose in terms of stanchions or poles and 21

exterior lighting. I'm not the architect, unless

Matt or, or Peter, if, if, if you guys are able

to address light? Or, or Chris Christian. Is, is

22

23

24

he there?

1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
3	MR. STEINMETZ: I know that a fair
4	amount of time and energy was spent by our
5	architect to, to lay out the lighting. Chris, is
6	he there?
7	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
8	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, I just want to be
9	clear to the public what
10	MR. STEINMETZ: Totally, I think it's a
11	great question. We don't want it too bright, but
12	we certainly want it bright enough to be safe.
13	MR. KESSLER: Right. In terms of the
14	height of the lights and how they face downward.
15	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
16	MR. KESSLER: There was the issue about
17	the woman who lives across, you know, is there
18	going to be lights from the hotel?
19	MR. STEINMETZ: I don't think there's
20	any hotel signage. There's no Marriott signage
21	facing back towards Floral. But let Christian
22	address that.
23	MR. CHRISTIAN FREEMAN: Matt, I don't
24	know if you guys want to take it away. I know

that photometric plans require very strictly
lighting to not go over the property lines and
not be over certain brightness or standards that
are followed within the lighting industry.
Everything that we show will be compliant. That's
pretty much all I have to say.

MR. STEINMETZ: Christian, do you know the height of our light poles? And can you at least confirm that they're all down light with no light pollution or light seepage off site?

MR. FREEMAN: Yes, so, so since 2010 or so, all light features are now required to be dark sky compliant. That used to be an upgrade, now it's standard. It's standard in the industry. So you don't have light pollution, you don't have lights going up, you don't have lights pointed offsite with a specific glare to them. In terms of that detail, I don't have my hands on that right now.

MR. KEHOE: Christian, he mentioned it, but a requirement of approval is a photometric plan.

MR. FREEMAN: Exactly.

2.3

2.4

MR. KEHOE: Which shows that, you know, you'll, you'll see the light, but it won't be bleeding off of the property. You've all seen the photometric plans and they'll have to provide that.

MR. STEINMETZ: Christian, one last question, putting you on the spot. Can you confirm that there is no Marriott signage facing the Bear Mountain Parkway through the woods towards Floral?

MR. FREEMAN: Yes, I can, correct.

MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you. Okay. That's all I've got, Mr. Chairman. I would request respectfully that the board close the public hearing. That does not conclude this process. Um, this is the second session. We've heard the, primarily the same issues this evening that we did at the last session. The public has had a fair and full opportunity to speak and I believe your board is in a position simply to advance the process.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you, David. Just let me just, does anybody else want to come back and

1	July 25, 2023
2	make any more comments?
3	MR. KEHOE: Sorry, just one thing for
4	the record. There was a person on Zoom.
5	MR. KESSLER: Oh.
6	MR. KEHOE: But she wrote a comment and
7	I guess I'll state her name for the record.
8	Barbara Halecki. I know Barbara, and she stated a
9	comment, you know, raising the similar concerns
10	that the residents have raised. And we responded
11	to her that her comment will be provided to the
12	board and made part of the record. She didn't
13	really want to necessarily speak, but it's
14	expressing concerns about the project and
15	agreeing with the people that have already
16	spoken.
17	MR. KESSLER: Okay. So before the one,
18	any more, you know, you want to make another
19	comment?
20	MS. Just, I just want to make one
21	comment
22	MR. KESSLER: Come up to the mic. You
23	got to come to the microphone, please.
24	MR. KEHOE: Yeah. They, they tape it.

1 July 25, 2023 2 MR. KESSLER: Yeah, I know. That way you get on TV also. 3 4 MS. SIDORIK: Okay. 5 MR. KEHOE: You just have to state your 6 name again, sorry. 7 MS. SIDORIK: Carleen Sidorik. Yeah, there's going to be a bar in this hotel, yes. Is 8 9 there? 10 MR. KESSLER: We'll let --11 MS. SIDORIK: Is there going to be a bar 12 in this hotel? 13 MR. KESSLER: Ask, ask your questions 14 and then -- why don't you ask your questions and 15 then they'll come back up and answer them. 16 MS. SIDORIK: Okay. My question is this. 17 Because I know all of this traffic issue and the 18 concern of patrons coming up the hill and parking 19 in our lot, we're not so much opposed -- we're 20 not so much concerned about the patrons of the --21 the guests at the hotel, but it's going to 22 attract a lot of other people who, who has no

place else to go. And they just want to go and

have a couple of drinks at the Marriott. And

2.3

24

that's a different crowd potentially altogether.

I guarantee you we will have issues with that

particular crowd. Okay.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.

MS. SIDORIK: All right. There's not, not a lot of nightlife in Courtlandt Manor, so, which is attractive to most of us, but people are going to look for a place to go and party and have a few drinks. That's what we're concerned about. Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Good point.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah. Yes ma'am. Come up. [applause]

MS. LINDA BREMNER: Linda Bremner, I don't know if this is appropriate, but I'd like to ask that you don't adjourn this hearing because I think [unintelligible] [01:16:50] [applause] comment had, had started and then I think the public, is strangely enough, is just getting aware of what's happening. Because the Examiner reports on it, does lohud report on it? Of course not. So I think more people are finding out about it. And I mean, which is the reason I

1 July 25, 2023 came out. And I'm just thinking that perhaps, you 2 know, this summer everybody's on vacation, that 3 if you wait until September and, and hear and 4 5 hear what other, you know, people may want to 6 come back up. 7 And, and also I respect what the Marriott representative has to say about the 8 9 traffic studies and everything else. Well, guess 10 what? People make mistakes. Perhaps you need to 11 look at it again, because we are the ones who are 12 going to suffer. And we live here. I mean, you 13 live here and, and the Northeast quadrant is beaten to death. And that's where this is 14 15 happening. And thank you again. And I don't know 16 if it was appropriate, but I've said it. 17 [applause] 18 MR. KESSLER: So in terms of the signage 19 was put up advertising the public hearing outside 20 the site, correct? 21 MR. KEHOE: Yes.

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Back in June.

MR. KESSLER: Back in June? you know, so

22

2.3

2.4

1 July 25, 2023 2 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Actually in May before the June 16th. 3 MR. KESSLER: So the orange signs that 4 5 we put up that was outside on the road there advertising the public hearing is for everybody 6 7 to be aware of the application. You know, not necessarily, you know, certainly it's advertised 8 9 in the newspapers as well. 10 MR. KEHOE: Yes. 11 MR. KESSLER: Public hearings, but, you 12 know, hopefully people see the signs and know 13 that there's an application pending and that 14 there'll be a public hearing on it. 15 MS. SIDORIK: If you don't drive by 16 here, you don't necessarily see it. That's the 17 issue. And I don't know why lohud doesn't get 18 these stories out. It's local news. And if you 19 don't get the Examiner, you haven't heard it. 20 [CROSSTALK] 21 MS. SIDORIK: You know, I mean sadly,

to just address this last issue?

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. David, you want

that's the state of affairs.

22

2.3

24

1

2.4

Yeah, I, I don't know if 2 MR. STEINMETZ: it's good news for Cortlandt or bad news for 3 Cortlandt. Apparently you'll be able to order a 4 drink at the bistro, but it closes at 10:00 5 o'clock. So this is not designed to be an 6 7 afterhours place to go. So Cortlandt may need to head down to Peekskill and find one of the 8 9 restaurants that may stay open a little later. 10 This is, this is, it's, it's, it's termed a 11 bistro. If you've been in one of these size 12 Marriotts, they're the little food service areas 13 that are designed to serve 50 of the 93 people 14 who stay in the hotel who might not want to 15 venture out at some point. But the answer is, as 16 I understand it, yes, there probably will be a 17 liquor license. Yes, there'll be beer and wine. 18 Maybe there'll be, you can order a drink, but it, 19 the data shows that it closes at 10:00 o'clock. 20 More importantly, I appreciate you 21 clarifying. We noticed this, we noticed this 22 hearing in accordance with the town code back in 2.3 May. We did both mailing, as well as posting.

And, and I also think the record should be clear

on folks who were stating that they had not heard about it, we actually also conducted lawful public hearings in front of the Zoning Board of Appeals earlier this year. So we have had, I believe, at least four sessions of a duly noticed due process of law, public hearing. And in accordance with your code, I would request that you close the public hearing. It does not -- leave the public record open for whatever time if people want to submit written comments, but you scheduled this meeting for this point in the summer because you concluded this was a rational time to do so.

MR. KESSLER: Alright, good. Tom?

MR. BIANCHI: Yeah, just one additional point to our observation maybe just to add on this, traffic being such a big issue here. At our last public hearing, did we not have our traffic consultant and the applicant's consultant present to review their work?

MR. KEHOE: Yes. The applicant's traffic consultant did a lengthy presentation and our traffic consultant, didn't give a lengthy

2.3

presentation, but concurred with their findings.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay. So I think a lot of the questions that were asked here, I don't know if the people that are here tonight heard those presentations or not, but a lot of the questions that were brought up were answered by that person at the last public hearing. I just want to make that observation.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from board members? Last call, any more comments from the audience? Oh, sure. Bar closes at 10:00, so last call.

MS. REGINA BAKER: Hi, Regina Baker, 13

MR. KESSLER: Just pull the microphone down a little, please. Thank you.

MS. BAKER: Okay. Regina Baker, 1310

Jacobs Hill Road, Cortlandt Manor. I'm part owner of one of the condos. Please keep these open. It was the first time I heard of the public hearing was last time we had it, and that was a letter that was sent to everybody in the area that this was going to be a, a public hearing. After that

1

20

21

22

2.3

24

we have not received anything. It was only 2 through the Examiner article that I realized that 3 this was going to be a public hearing. So and 4 5 then I had called up Chris about it yesterday and he said, yes, there is a public hearing. So that 6 7 was the first time, you know, it was in the paper two weeks ago. And then, you know, but we, if we 9 did, I don't think there was anything mentioned 10 about the big orange sign or at all. I don't 11 remember seeing it. So please keep this open 12 because as someone said, this is the summer 13 months. A lot of people -- well, I also have to 14 say a lot of senior citizens don't like to drive after 6:00 o'clock. So, but, you know, we try to 15 16 get them out, but please keep this open so we can 17 keep this going. Okay. Thank you. [applause] 18 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. One potential 19 solution, which I know we've done in the past,

solution, which I know we've done in the past, especially given that I -- I know that you just said some seniors don't like to drive at night.

If the board would consider it, you could potentially close the verbal public hearing, but leave written comments open for a specific period

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

of time. And that'll also give everyone opportunity to -- it will give the applicant an opportunity to submit the rendering and it will give the public an opportunity to submit written comments on the rendering.

MR. KESSLER: That's 30 days, right?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We could do, we could do it until 30 days.

MR. KESSLER: And certainly closing the public hearing doesn't mean we're rendering a decision at this point. We're just, you know, we have all the information we think we need, you know, from -- we're thankful to the public and to our consultants and what the applicant has submitted. And I think, you know, I don't see that there's going to be any more information that we're going to receive by keeping the public hearing open, quite frankly, because, you know, we have volumes of papers here that we've reviewed and discussed here over the last several meetings and over these two public hearings. So, you know, I, I think it's, you know, time to, you know, sit back, you know, close the public

2.3

hearing and review the materials and have the board decide on how they want to proceed in terms of approving this application or not.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I had one more question.

MR. KESSLER: Okay, one more.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Are there any plans to, to lengthen the time that the light that you can make a left turn on Jacobs Hill? Because the amount of time that you have to make a left turn is very, very short and I can just anticipate people wanting to get back on the Bear Mountain Expressway, and you're going to have a backup there because you have very, very little time to make a left turn.

MR. KESSLER: Somebody, I don't know if it was you, but somebody else raised that at the last meeting. And again, now you, I, I can't, I'm not the technical person that can speak about these adaptive headlights, but presumably there's some intelligence in these things that's going to measure the traffic and make a determination how long to keep the light going for whatever turns

2.0

2.3

2.4

that need to be made. But, you know, it remains to be seen. You know, I, I'm not the expert on this by any means, and, but from everything that's been presented to us and the DOT is the one that's doing this along Route 6, you know, supposedly there's going to be a real improvement seen in the flow of traffic along Route 6 and for the people trying to get onto Route 6. But again, you know, the proof is when it's built and we'll, and we'll measure it from there. Any other last comments before we have a motion here? If not, Mr. Bianchi.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay. I'm going to make a motion that we close the public hearing now. I don't know how the rest of the board is going to feel about that, but I'm going to move that we close the public hearing as my first motion.

MR. KEHOE: Do you, in that motion, do you want to put the --

MR. BIANCHI: And develop a resolution.

MR. KEHOE: -- written comment?

MR. BIANCHI: And written comments will be allowed for, I guess we like 30 days?

	Page 9
1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Well, you usually do 20.
3	MR. BIANCHI: Twenty?
4	MR. KEHOE: Well, but let me see.
5	MR. KESSLER: Oh, wait, we have a longer
6	the meeting's in a longer time. You could
7	probably do 30.
8	MR. KEHOE: The meeting is Tuesday,
9	September 5th.
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I think I think 30
11	would be appropriate.
12	MR. KEHOE: Thirty's fine.
13	MR. KESSLER: No, there's the 25th, so
14	yes, yes.
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: There's about 10 days
16	in between the end of the comment period and the
17	meeting. And then it'll also give the public
18	plenty of time to comment on the rendering that
19	the applicant's going to submit.
20	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. So, we'll, I'll move
21	that we receive comments for the up to the next
22	30 days and that we prepare a resolution for the
23	next meeting.
24	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.

MS. HILDINGER: I second.

3 MR. KESSLER: Okay, we're on the

4 question. All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay. Motion carries. Alright, Thank you all for coming.

Appreciate it. Let's see where are we? Okay, next item is under old business. It's the application of NK Electric for site development plan approval, and a special permit for a specialty trade electrical contractor located in an existing building at 465 Yorktown Road, Route 129, drawings latest revised July 19, 2023. Good evening.

MR. JIM ANNICCHIARICO: Good evening,
Jim Anaco with Corona Engineering. So, since the
last time we were here last month, we have
prepared responses to the comments that we
received from the planning department. And
additionally we've also addressed a few comments
that we received from DEP. They were all tallied
in the, in the same memo addressed to the
planning board.

2.3

Additionally in that, in that response memo, we went through all of the special permit requirements of Section 307-65.6 in the town code that, that outlines certain things that are required for special permit for a specialty trade contractor.

I could answer any questions or I can go over -- let me, some of the big, some of the more important items in those were landscaping the septic system, the existing septic system, one, one of the comments in Chris Kehoe's memo, was the handicapped parking space. We've added that to the plan. One of the questions was were we proposing any signage, we're not proposing any signage, it's simply just an office for the applicant's electrical contracting business.

We were asked to provide information for the company vehicles, the vans. We've done that. There are two Ford 250 medium height vans, two Ford 250 low top vans, and two Ram ProMaster high top vans. So, there's a total of six, six vans for the, for the contracting company. There is some office staff as well. Mr. Clevis has three

2.3

office staff. They're not all there at the same time. They're basically staggered. There's a, there's a -- Wednesdays, two of the two of the staff are there at the same time.

MR. KESSLER: Our, our intention here is to set a public hearing. I guess one issue that you have to do before that is convince the DEP that parking on the gravel will not in any way interfere with the septic system.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right. We have to obtain a change of use as well from the health department.

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We're working on that. And we will certainly try to determine that, that the septic area is, you know capable of H20 loading for traffic to, to drive over it.

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, Jim, just at the work session, we reminded ourselves that since this is a special permit, the code requires a public hearing, unlike some other cases. So that's why the board is going to go ahead and schedule it

1	July 25, 2023
2	for next month.
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I was a little taken
4	aback by that.
5	MR. KESSLER: Alright, so, with that,
6	Kevin.
7	MR. KOBASA: Alright. I'd like to make a
8	motion to schedule a public hearing next meeting.
9	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
10	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
11	MR. MCKINLEY: Second.
12	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
13	favor?
14	MULTIPLE: Aye.
15	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
16	MR. KEHOE: So in, you know, within a
17	week or 10 days before that meeting, you know,
18	hopefully you'll have some answers from DEP?
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yep.
20	MR. KEHOE: Okay. Thank you.
21	MR. KESSLER: Alright, thanks.
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank you very much.
23	MR. KESSLER: Alright, onto new
24	business, two items under new business. This is

2.4

the first one, the application of Cronin engineering for the property of Riverview

Industrial Park for amended site development plan approval for modifications to the existing industrial building and the site located at 260 6th Street. Drawings dated July 17, 2023. Good evening.

MR. KEITH STAUDOHAR: Keith Staudohar, Cronin Engineering representing the applicant, Riverview Industrial Park, LLC. The project site is at 260 6th Street in Verplanck. It's zoned MD Industrial. There is currently a 40,000 square foot industrial building on the site. The applicant recently purchased the property, within the last year or two and has invested a lot of money into fixing up the place, redoing the interior, fixing the outside, making it more, much more aesthetically pleasing than what it was when they purchased it.

In my cover letter, I indicated what the site plan amendment involves, and basically I'll just run through these real quick. So, there will be the removal of the doggy daycare from the

2.0

2.3

2.4

site, the relocation of the rescue dog run to another location on the site, the addition of nine contractor storage units on the rear side of the building. The contractor storage units will each have a garage door and a separate man door, an access road and parking area to accommodate the contractors getting into their garage spaces, storage spaces. There's like a storm water management facility for this small parking area that we're putting in.

We're designating an area on site where we could potentially store some machines or equipment. There's no intention of doing that, but we figure we'd show it on the plan now in case a small machine or some small equipment needed to be stored on site.

And they're currently repurposing the northern, the northwest portion of the building for contractor storage and storage units. So, basically this amendment is to provide a parking area and nine garage doors on the rear side, south side, southeast side of the building. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer

1	Page 98 July 25, 2023
2	them.
3	MR. KESSLER: No, I mean, we're going to
4	refer this back to staff for their review but
5	MR. BIANCHI: Just one question.
6	MR. KESSLER: before that, Tom?
7	MR. BIANCHI: Are there interior
8	renovations
9	MR. STAUDOHAR: Well, right now
10	MR. BIANCHI: that are going to take
11	place?
12	MR. STAUDOHAR: There are currently
13	there's a lot of interior renovations going on.
14	MS. HILDINGER: I, I didn't hear that,
15	what?
16	MR. STAUDOHAR: What?
17	MS. HILDINGER: I didn't hear what you
18	said. I'm sorry.
19	MR. STAUDOHAR: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah,
20	currently there is interior alterations being
21	conducted on site to redo the whole interior of
22	the building basically.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Now what, what do you mean
24	by phase one and phase two? On your drawing, you

2.3

July 25, 2023

show a phase one and phase two master plan.

MR. STAUDOHAR: Phase one was approved several years ago and phase two is what we're proposing now.

MR. BIANCHI: And the difference is?

MR. STAUDOHAR: Well, phase one, yeah.

This is Adam Garber, one of the principals,

MR. ADAM GARBER: How you doing? So phase, phase one was approved by the town of Cortlandt and we were issued a building permit.

MR. KEHOE: Right. And, and that, that was outside the purview of the planning board. It didn't raise to the level of having to come to your board.

MR. GARBER: It didn't require the planning board. So we were issued a building permit for that, which is, we're doing interior renovations to the interior of the building where we're creating what I'm going to call garage storage, contractor storage units, along with self storage units. So that's what's there now.

As part of phase two, phase two will incorporate, which is currently now, what's the

1	July 25, 2023
2	name of the dog?
3	MR. KEHOE: Canine.
4	MR. GARBER: Canine Kindergarten. I
5	always get confused, Canine Kindergarten's
6	existing space. I have an agreement in place, a
7	legal document that they, they should should be
8	moving out at the end of this month. And as part
9	of phase two and the expansion to where their
10	existing outdoor dog runs are now, they would be
11	removed. We would add overhead doors with also a
12	man door to expand on that self-storage and
13	contractor use.
14	So, if you're looking at the phase two
15	plans and you look at the northeast side of the
16	building, which as a reference, faces Madeline
17	Avenue, those are the overhead doors, which I'm
18	going to refer to as the storage or contractor
19	storage units.
20	MR. KEHOE: And that, that's on the
21	screen now, correct?
22	MR. GARBER: Yes.
23	MR. KEHOE: That's the building
24	elevation?

	Page 1
1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. GARBER: Yes. That is, that is the
3	northeast elevation. Currently, what is, what is
4	there now would be the dog runs for Second Chance
5	Rescue, which we would like to relocate and the
6	existing Canine Kindergarten dog run.
7	MS. HILDINGER: So basically it's just
8	fencing now?
9	MR. GARBER: It's, it's fencing and
10	it's, and it there's also poured concrete
11	slabs.
12	MS. HILDINGER: It's concrete slabs with
13	fencing?
14	MR. GARBER: Correct.
15	MS. HILDINGER: And you're proposing to
16	put roofs to
17	MR. GARBER: No.
18	MS. HILDINGER: No?
19	MR. GARBER: We're proposing to remove,
20	remove those slabs.
	Π

MR. GARBER: Remove the slabs, put in a parking area and a loading area and overhead garage doors, just like we're doing on the west

MS. HILDINGER:

To remove the slabs?

21

22

23

24

1	July 25, 2023
2	end, the west elevation, which faces towards the
3	river.
4	MS. HILDINGER: So the overhead garage
5	doors, would go on the existing building?
6	MR. GARBER: Yes, ma'am.
7	MS. HILDINGER: Okay.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Now, you talked in your,
9	in your master plan you showed where the doggy
10	daycare is currently, I guess is tenant A, tenant
11	B, tenant C, tenant D. So you're going to have
12	different tenants in there?
13	MR. KESSLER: Chris, can you fit this
14	one up?
15	MR. GARBER: Floor plan, phase two floor
16	plan?
17	MR. CUNNINGHAM: What you looking for?
18	MR. KESSLER: Wait, Wait.
19	MR. GARBER: I think you're referencing
20	the, the either the phase one or phase two
21	floor plan.
22	MR. KEHOE: Which ones?
23	MR. BIANCHI: I just wondered. It says
24	tenants in it. I just

	Dogo 10:
1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, the, the whole floor
3	plan, Chris.
4	MR. GARBER: Yes.
5	MR. KEHOE: The phase
6	MR. GARBER: Phase two.
7	MR. KEHOE: Phase two?
8	MR. KESSLER: This one, this one? The
9	one that says re Riverview Industrial Master
10	Plan.
11	MR. KEHOE: Phase one and two I got
12	here.
13	MR. KESSLER: Phase one and two.
14	MR. KEHOE: Okay. So hang on.
15	MR. GARBER: Phase one's approved. Phase
16	two would be proposed. Yes. So this is, this is
17	the proposed floor plan.
18	MR. KESSLER: Right.
19	MR. GARBER: So, as you can see, where
20	you have the if you put the, the cursor kind
21	of on
22	MR. KESSLER: On the on the right
23	side.
24	MR. GARBER: I, I just need to walk up

2.3

2.4

to see the units here. If you, if you go to the left facing me. Okay. So over on the left side, yes. So no, that's the second floor of the building.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Oh, second.

MR. GARBER: All over there, that whole side basically going down to the orange to the orange.

MR. KEHOE: You, you need to speak into the mic. Sorry. You can take it with you if that's --

MR. GARBER: So, so going down over here on this side, which I'm going to refer to as the east elevation facing Madeleine Avenue, That's of reference to the elevation you saw of the proposed garage doors. The, the other units, just, which I'm going to say on the west elevation that you see, are smaller units. Those are self-storage units. So they would be -- four of those units would be accessed from an interior hallway, and then four of those units would be accessed from the outside. That doesn't have direct road access. That would just be a walkway

	Dana 1/1
1	July 25, 2023
2	for traditional kind of self storage.
3	MR. KESSLER: And wait, where is the
4	doggy daycare now in there?
5	MR. GARBER: So the doggy daycare now is
6	exactly where you have the cursor.
7	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
8	MR. GARBER: See the two spaces.
9	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
10	MR. GARBER: And then bring it, bring it
11	down the screen.
12	MR. KESSLER: And the cages in the back?
13	MR. GARBER: Yes. The cage, the cage is
14	in the back.
15	MR. KESSLER: Okay. That's not shown?
16	MR. GARBER: No.
17	MR. KESSLER: Okay. So you're taking
18	down those cages.
19	MR. GARBER: Yes.
20	MR. KESSLER: And
21	MR. KEHOE: Well, I'll, I'll put the
22	site plan up, because then
23	MR. KESSLER: Oh.
24	MR. KEHOE: Hang On. Sorry. So you were

1	July 25, 2023
2	just looking at it's hard with the cursor, but
3	you can see little squares there. Those are, I
4	guess the garage doors
5	MR. GARBER: Yes.
6	MR. KEHOE: that are, they're going
7	to be opening up to this new parking area. And
8	this is the parking area where the dog runs were
9	or are?
10	MR. GARBER: Yeah, the dog runs are
11	where we're showing those infiltrators.
12	MR. KEHOE: Right.
13	MR. GARBER: So roughly right around
14	those infiltrators is where the current cages
15	are.
16	MR. KEHOE: So on your aerial, you, you,
17	which I gave you, you can see the dog runs sort
18	of, and then those will be eliminated with this
19	parking area. And then garage doors opening
20	directly into the parking area. Those things that
21	those are underground drainage structures.
22	MR. GARBER: Right.
23	MR. KEHOE: So it's all parking lot up
24	there.

	Page 10
1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. GARBER: Chris, I'd just like to
3	clarify one thing. The Second Chance dog run
4	MR. KEHOE: Oh, sorry.
5	MR. GARBER: which is also located
6	adjacent to Canine Kindergarten, we're proposing
7	to relocate that to the southeast section of the
8	property, adjacent to where the garbage enclosure
9	is.
10	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Move the curser to
11	the left.
12	MR. GARBER: Yep, yep.
13	MR. KEHOE: Right here.
14	MR. KESSLER: That's where the dogs are
15	going?
16	MR. GARBER: Yes. But, but this is only
17	for Second Chance Rescue.
18	MR. KESSLER: Right.
19	MR. GARBER: And I just want to state
20	one thing about the difference in the dog runs as
21	it pertains to Second Chance Rescue versus Canine

Kindergarten. Canine Kindergartner is a for-

outside at one time. Second Chance Rescue is

profit dog kennel, okay, where multiple dogs are

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

exactly what it is, says. It's a, it's a rescue facility. And over the last two years, I've really kind of administered kind of how they function, both businesses and, and kind of their impacts. Being that it's a dog rescue and not knowing when you take dogs in what their temperament is necessarily with other animals, they typically only have one dog outside that I've noticed with the trainer. Have they had more than one dog? Yes. I've noticed when families go to adopt dogs and they have an existing dog, they'll bring a dog in and introduce it. But from, from an impact of the kennel itself and relocating it with their business, they don't have, I'm going to say a pack of dogs, where the existing kennel, where Canine Kindergarten is, they had numerous dogs outside at one time.

MR. KEHOE: So any noise complaints recently about dogs were associated mainly with Canine Kindergarten or totally with Canine Kindergarten?

MR. GARBER: That, That based upon my observations, as a property owner and spending --

1	July 25, 2023
2	we've owned the property since April of 2021, and
3	I've spent a lot of time over there as the owner,
4	the GC overseeing the construction and I've just
5	witnessed how the, how the d different operations
6	function outside.
7	MR. BIANCHI: So my original question on
8	the right side of the building, I don't know what
9	east, west, north, south, but it's, you have a
10	tenant A, B, C, and D there. Are those current
11	tenants that remain?
12	MR. GARBER: I, I, I need to see I
13	don't have a plan in front of me to
14	MR. KEHOE: Hang on.
15	MR. BIANCHI: You have to bring up that
16	plan again.
17	MR. KEHOE: Yep.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah. So to the yellow
19	line.
20	MR. KEHOE: Oh, sorry. Sorry.
21	MR. BIANCHI: To the right of the yellow
22	line when it comes back up,
23	MR. GARBER: If I, if I could just look
24	at one of your plans because I can't see the

1	July 25, 2023
2	numbers on or the letters on here, I could tell
3	you. Thank you.
4	MR. BIANCHI: I just want to get a
5	sense, are those current tenants?
6	MR. GARBER: Tenant A, see, these are
7	all, so so
8	MR. BIANCHI: On the right side of the
9	plan.
10	MR. GARBER: So yes, so tenant C is a,
11	is a current tenant at the property that's
12	staying. Tenant B was a tenant that was in
13	another part of the building, which we're now
14	renovating that we've gotten building permits and
15	we've moved over, we've relocated.
16	MR. BIANCH: Okay. So
17	MR. GARBER: And
18	MR. BIANCHI: you're, you're doing
19	renovations in both areas basically, and
20	MR. GARBER: Yes.
21	MR. BIANCHI: on the left side and
22	the right side of the yellow line?
23	MR. KEHOE: Yes. But the main, I, I
24	could be wrong, but the main redevelopment and

	Page 11
1	July 25, 2023
2	the changing of the floor plan is all these up
3	here, right? It's, it's 22, 20, 19?
4	MR. GARBER: Correct.
5	MR. KEHOE: Those are going to be all
6	the new contractors with the garage doors?
7	MR. GARBER: Correct.
8	MR. KEHOE: Right.
9	MR. GARBER: Which, which is basically,
10	I'll say the, the northeasterly side of the
11	building. So if you see where the intersection of
12	the common area hallway is depicted in orange,
13	basically from yeah, it's, it's actually two
14	units past that, but yeah, that's, that's all
15	what's proposed.
16	MR. KOBASA: You mentioned, sorry, you
17	mentioned some storage, self-service, self-
18	storage. Is that for tenants only or is that for
19	public as well?
20	MR. GARBER: Both. Both. So we've had
21	some existing tenants that have expressed,
22	expressed interest in having some storage units
23	there. And, and then we would also be open to the

public as well.

24

1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. KOBASA: Okay.
3	MR. BIANCHI: Are you proposing any
4	signage?
5	MR. GARBER: There's an existing four by
6	eight sign, that's a pylon sign at the entrance
7	of the 6th Street ingress and egress. We would
8	just repurpose that sign.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
10	MR. KEHOE: So, you know, I did talk
11	about this with a little, with Joe and the
12	engineering department. There's some landscape
13	questions, some drainage questions. We'll address
14	all those in a review memo.
15	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Alright, so, any
16	other comments? If not, Peter?
17	MR. MCKINLEY: That's me. I make a
18	motion to refer back to staff, staff for the
19	application of the Riverview Industrial Park, LLC
20	in Verplanck.
21	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
22	MS. HILDINGER: I second.
23	MR. KOBASA: Second.
24	MR. KESSLER: And on the question. All

1 July 25, 2023 in favor? 2 3 MULTIPLE: Aye. 4 MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay. 5 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank You. 6 MR. KESSLER: Thank you. 7 MR. GARBER: Thank you. Alright. Final item this MR. KESSLER: 8 9 evening under new business is the application of 10 VS Construction Corporation for preliminary and 11 final plat approval for a six-lot subdivision, 12 five building lots, for property located at 2003 13 Crompond Road, drawing dated July 18, 2023, Mr. 14 Steinmetz, all again. Well, again, Mr. 15 MR. STEINMETZ: 16 Chairman, members of the board, David Steinmetz 17 from the law firm of Zarin and Steinmetz, I'm 18 very pleased to be here this evening, 19 representing Val and Mandy Santucci and VS 20 Construction in connection with the Evergreen 21 Manor Project as part of the town's newly adopted 22 MOD or medical oriented district. I'm joined 23 tonight by my colleague Brian Sinsabaugh, as well

as by, where did he go, Matt Steinberg. Oh, I'm

24

2.3

2.4

sorry. I got scared you abandoned me, last on the agenda. He decided he was out of here.

MR. KEHOE: Do you want me to show this now? Oh, Hold it?. Okay. He just gave me a new plan, but I'll, okay, that's fine.

MR. STEINMETZ: He'll, he'll, he'll tell us what we want to show at what time. I, I'm going to try to be brief, but we're here largely to be introductory on this. Let's take a giant step back. I'm very well aware, as are my clients, that three of you have not lived through this with us. I'm sad to say that two of you have lived through this with me and Val and Mandy, how many years, Val? Six, seven? So it's -- we've been waiting a long time to get to this point in the process. We're very happy to have gotten to this point in the process. And it was originally started with Envision Cortlandt many years ago, before the seven years ago.

Envision Cortlandt contemplated creating this new district surrounding the Hudson Valley, then the Hudson Valley Hospital, and creating what I consider to be a hamlet for both medical

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

oriented uses as well as residential uses and complimentary commercial retail, even restaurant uses and walkability, etc. And that, that was the original concept. And Mr. Santucci decided back in 2017ish to file an application in connection with what now has become a 28-acre site directly across from the hospital that we refer to as Evergreen Manor.

Evergreen Manor is 28 acres. It's currently comprised of three different tax lots. We're really happy that it was zoned into and mapped into the MOD. Evergreen Manor itself, and this is important for, for the three of you who have not lived through this with us, it went through quite a bit of evolution. We have looked at a variety of uses on this site, and you probably know some of that, from a multifamily apartment, which ultimately was not approved by the town board. By a hotel, ironically, a hotel was originally proposed, a 100-key hotel on that site and the community and the town board ultimately decided that would not be appropriate. We had looked at one point at a medical, kind of

2.3

2.4

a medical service type building with a pharmacy, maybe some medical research or a medical lab. We had talked about a restaurant. All of those things have been contemplated and studied.

The good news for your board, and I think certainly the chair and Mr. Bianchi know this, the SEQRA process was conducted already. We spent multiple years in front of the town board as lead agency doing a draft environmental impact statement, a final environmental impact statement, a tremendous amount of public involvement.

Footnote, it's probably the only entirely remote DEIS public hearing that I will ever do in my career and I would not recommend anyone try to do a DEIS public hearing on Zoom with Michael, Chris, what did we have, 180 people in attendance.

But to the credit of the town, the town pulled that off. We ultimately arrived at the conclusion of the process. The town board as lead agency, adopted a finding statement concluding the SEQRA process and finding that there was no

2.4

significant adverse environmental impact provided a whole bunch of things happen.

Relative to Evergreen Manor, it meant that Evergreen Manor was going to have an assisted and independent living use on the site for 120 units or 120 beds. It would also have upwards to 99 fee simple townhouses and some community amenities associated with that. And there would still be an area for a seven to 10,000 square foot commercial type use.

We're here tonight to start the process.

We're here tonight largely to talk about the subdivision of the site, and I'll explain why in a moment. But I want to make sure that you're entirely clear, we expect to be back here in September with our site plan submission for the assisted living. We are in final, Mr. Santucci — both Mr. Santucci and Mandy Santucci are in final negotiations with an assisted living provider, a major regional assisted living provider.

And until that is consummated, I am not allowed to let that one completely out of the bag. The good news is, I can tell you it's

entirely consistent with everything that we've studied and designed, 120 units, appropriate parking. And for those of you that -- and, and with all the stuff, Steve and Tom that I've done in front of this board over 30 years, we've never done, nor have you ever done really a state-of-the-art assisted and independent living facility.

I have done assisted and independent living facilities throughout this county for Brightview Senior living, Sunrise Senior Living, Capital Senior Housing, Hawthorne Senior Living, Maple Senior Living, I'm probably the -- National Development, so I've lived through this in a lot of communities.

Cortlandt deserves, and I think Envision

Cortlandt knew that, Cortlandt deserves a stateof-the-art assisted and independent living

facility. I'm going to give you three minutes on
that so that you know it's really coming, 120
units, the exact division of, of units the
provider will have to identify, I'm going to say
it's probably in the neighborhood of 90
independent and 60 assisted. I actually did my

2.3

math wrong there on the fly. It's probably 70 independent and 50 assisted. It's usually a larger number of independent living units that then feed into the assisted. But the provider will let us know that.

What's the difference? Independent
living units are for folks who need less
assistance. They are typically units that will
have kitchen capability or cooking capability
within them, though you can still buy into and
probably receive at least one, if not two meals a
day and you have use of the onsite facilities,
which I'll get to.

The assisted living units typically are no cooking facilities for those folks. They, they need additional assistance for the -- the phrase in the industry -- activities of daily living that's getting dressed, moving around, possibly bathing and toileting. And those folks will typically be somewhat older. They don't have to be. But the average age of an IL resident is 82, the average age of an AL resident is usually 86. Almost universally, nobody is really driving

2.3

2.4

though your parking ratios will have to be complied with.

I lived through this myself personally with my own father who went originally in independent living and did really well for a while until he needed more help and was forgetting things like taking medication. And in the assisted living units, there can be medication supervision where they actually provide you with your meds each day and make sure you take them. And you graduate, as my dad did into AL. And you can then be in assisted living for a period of time.

And I did leave out a component of AL is also what we refer to as ALZ or Alzheimer's, or memory care. There will be a memory care wing associated with this building, which will be a secure wing that you'll hear more about when we come back with the actual site plan for the building. But suffice it to say that on lot number one, which is the -- Chris, if you can curse it to it -- it's the, it's normally what I would consider the bottom left, but the

2.3

2.4

orientation with Crampond Road there, it's that one right there.

It is the first lot one will come to when you enter the site, when you enter this 20 acre site directly across, across from Conklin Street, there will be a signaled intersection with a driveway. It will be built to municipal specifications. And that road, that cul-de-sac road, will provide access to everything inside Evergreen Manor. It's going to be built to municipal specs. It's going to have sewer, water, all necessary utilities, and it will have sidewalks, lighting, landscaping, etc.

So, lot number one, lot number one, probably just over six acres, 6.03 acres is intended to accommodate the assisted living use. And as I said, because I know some may be curious, why did we file this subdivision plan without having the site plans here? Because we wanted to start the subdivision process, as I will explain, and we know fully well that the site plan for that assisted living use, Matt Steinberg, DTS, and the anticipated contract

1 July 25, 2023 vendee provider have studied that 6.03 acres and 2 know it fits onto that 6.03 acres. 3 MR. KESSLER: We don't know that though. 4 5 MR. STEINMETZ: I didn't ask whether you knew that. 6 7 MR. KESSLER: Well, does it matter? MR. STEINMETZ: The good -- well, of 8 9 course it matters. And the good news is for you, 10 Mr. Chairman, you're going to have a couple of 11 months to do the review and confirm that it's 12 going to fit on there. So you don't have to 13 believe me that it's going to fit on there 14 tonight, but I promise you, in September, 15 actually sometime next month, you'll probably see 16 drawings that show you it fits on there. And 17 you'll have an opportunity, as we go through the 18 process to study that and confirm it does. 19 20 state of the art and I think you used that

21

22

2.3

24

MR. KOBASA: David, you used the word specifically. What, what, can you expand on that just very briefly?

Sure. So look, you have, MR. STEINMETZ: you have some senior oriented facilities here in

2.3

2.4

the town. They're, they're older. They're an older model. They probably have less amenities inside and they are probably not built to the level of finish that this would be. This would have a fitness area, this would have a bistro area where folks can actually congregate and enjoy themselves. They're, they're beautifully and tastefully done. They're designed to make aging seniors feel like they're in a really nice place that they're going to spend hopefully a number of years.

So, some of the older facilities feel and look and are marketed more like nursing homes. What we all grew up, what I grew up with as, as more of a nursing home. This is not a nursing home. This is not a skilled nursing licensed facility. I'm sure the chair probably knows more about those specifications than I do professionally having, well, I guess we all learned a little bit about licensing on another application. But this would not be licensed for skilled nursing.

Second the lots that are shown there as

2.3

two, three, and four, two, three and then four, all of those will more than sufficiently accommodate what was studied in the DEI, or in the FEIS as -- and, and actually -- maybe that, maybe if we could go to this?

MR. KEHOE: I have that. Just give me a sec.

MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you. Not that.

Perfect. If you can, Chris, if you can click it,

blow it up a notch or two without going off the

page, it'd be great. If you can't, it's fine.

MR. KEHOE: Well, they gave me a big drawing that --

MR. STEINMETZ: Let's just leave that so that we don't have any difficulty. So that's, that's our master site plan, master site plan that lays out for the site with Compond Road at the bottom, west to your right, east to your left. The site, as we look at it, is going from north at the bottom of the page to south at the top of the page. And in it you can see in the, in the bottom left hand corner, the assisted and independent living building. Again, that's not a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

final design. You will get a different design and a final design of a building when we make our formal submission. But that is the assisted living pad.

You then see an array of townhouses spreading out around what I just described as lots two, three, and four. There are 99 different townhouses. The, the town's MOD required, and this is important for us to hear day one, required that these be fee simple absolute lots. What does that mean? These are not going to be condominium units. They are actually going to be subdivided lots. So at some point in the process, I'm telling you on day one, we will be back with a 99-lot subdivision of the big lot that we will probably be, or big lots, that we will be creating with you. That is a requirement of your MOD, that these be fee simple. Why did the town board do that? I can only speculate that they did that because it results in a higher tax valuation for the town of Cortlandt. And that is a better thing for the town.

The units are arranged and when we

1 July 25, 2023 ultimately submit our site plan, they will be 2 arranged in groupings of, Mandy three packs and 3 four packs, primarily three packs and four packs. 4 5 What do I mean by that? That's three units in a group, two ends in a middle, four units, two 6 7 middles, two ends. That's --MR. KESSLER: Just, just, let's stop for 8 9 a second. You're only coming in for assisted 10 living next time? Is that --11 MR. STEINMETZ: No. I hope no, I'm, we 12 we're, no, I hope that we're coming in next time. 13 MR. KESSLER: Okay. Can we get back to 14 six lots? Seven lots here? It sounds like 15 you're, you're giving us the presentation that you're going to do in September. 16 MR. STEINMETZ: No, because in --17 18 MR. KESSLER: Explain to, just explain 19 to me --20 MR. STEINMETZ: Sure. 21 MR. KESSLER: -- why you have townhouses

and the need to have that over three lots. So

Why, if they're all townhouses, Tell me the --

educate me as to why you need three, that has to

22

2.3

24

1	July 25, 2023
2	be three lots.
3	MR. STEINMETZ: So one of the reasons
4	that we are contemplating that as a three-lot is
5	that it can be financed and conveyed in three
6	different groupings. So nothing precludes us
7	MR. KESSLER: I don't know what that
8	means.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: So rather than
10	potentially selling all 99 and closing on all 99
11	at one time, we could close on those lots that
12	fit, with those smaller unit lots, that fit
13	within the larger lot. So, those three in the
14	back, the 99 townhouses theoretically could be 33
15	in the first lot, 33 in the second lot, and 33 in
16	the third lot. And that would not require the
17	Santuccis to convey all 99 or make somebody pay
18	for all 99 in one fell swoop. So we would like
19	them to be in three different lots.
20	MR. KESSLER: How's that different than
21	just phasing the construction? What am I missing?
22	MR. STEINMETZ: Because we actually need
23	lots in order to convey it.
24	MR. KESSLER: Convey

MR. KESSLER: Convey --

1	July 25, 2023
2	MR. STEINMETZ: This is for conveyancing
3	purposes.
4	MR. KESSLER: Conveyance, help me out
5	here, conveyance to whom?
6	MR. STEINMETZ: To the ultimate builder
7	of the subdivision.
8	MR. KESSLER: I see.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: I can state for the
10	record that
11	MR. KESSLER: So you, it is possible
12	that three different builders would build the
13	three different lots?
14	MR. STEINMETZ: It's possible. It's more
15	likely that a single builder acquiring 99 units
16	would not take down all 99 at the exact same
17	time. So by creating lots, we have the ability to
18	sell them and actually sell them a lot that has
19	within it 33 of the units.
20	MR. KESSLER: Pre-approved units?
21	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct. And we're not,
22	we're not at that juncture yet. But, so let's,
23	let's take your question, Mr. Chairman, and let's
24	reel it back a notch, as I think you probably

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

have some sense. More important than lots two, three, and four is the creation of lot one. We are on the threshold of executing a contract regarding that area.

That area is not presently a subdivided lot. My client has a contract vendee that wants to know that there is a subdivided lot there. So for legal financing and conveyancing purposes, we are processing, starting this evening, a subdivision application. Whether it's for the one lot and the balance of the property or if it's for the five lots with the road and the drainage area, we need to begin processing the subdivision. And Mr. Chairman, while I, I mean I know you cut me off and I obviously, you know, I'm going to do what you ask. I wanted, we're here tonight to introduce the entire project, even if we haven't submitted the final site plan, I can tell you that your --

MR. KESSLER: Our expectation from the agenda item is to talk about --

MR. STEINMETZ: The subdivision.

MR. KESSLER: The subdivision. Yes.

1

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That's fine. And I 2 MR. STEINMETZ: didn't want to talk about a subdivision with 3 three new members who actually don't realize what 4 5 we've lived through for seven years. And that would be unfair to the Santuccis. 6 7 MR. KESSLER: Okay. So, so I understand, from what you're presenting here, you, you need 8 9 to get this lot one done. And in, in fact, what

> you're also going to be -- perhaps the wrong choice of words, quaranteeing them 120-bed

you're going to be doing is if you have lot one,

assisted living approval. 13

> MR. STEINMETZ: No, I don't think we guarantee, I'm going to use your phrase. I'm not quaranteeing anyone anything. I'm in the town of Courtlandt. You can't even guarantee that there's water in the hallway, so.

MR. KESSLER: But you, so you're going to quarantee them, you, you're going to, it sounds like you have somebody that's willing to build an assisted living?

MR. STEINMETZ: A hundred percent.

MR. KESSLER: And the expectation is

1	July 25, 2023
2	that they're going to build 120-bed assisted
3	living?
4	MR. STEINMETZ: Absolutely. Because it's
5	already been found to be of no significant
6	adverse environmental impact, and it fits on the
7	lot and it's been designed for it. So I expect it
8	to happen.
9	MR. KESSLER: But again, my first point,
10	you know, we haven't determined that it fits on
11	the lot. You have. We have not.
12	MR. STEINMETZ: I understand that.
13	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
14	MR. STEINMETZ: And nobody's asking you
15	to determine that tonight.
16	MR. KESSLER: I, I completely we
17	finally agree.
18	MR. STEINMETZ: And in fairness, if we
19	are beginning to promote the creation of a 6.03-
20	acre lot that you ultimately determined is
21	insufficient, it's our risk. We, we blew it. We,
22	we shouldn't be doing it. But I can tell you that
23	before Val and Mandy had their surveyor prepare
24	that, and before Matt Steinberg and DTS Provident

2.3

2.4

does, did, did their initial cut, fill and grading analysis and drainage analysis, and before my contract vendee started negotiating a contract, with all due respect, Mr. Chairman I could tell you a lot of people and a lot of brain power went into, does that 6.03-acre lot actually work? I wouldn't be sitting here citing the number that I'm citing if we hadn't spent the last three months doing this. If I, if I had a signed agreement, I probably would be, I'd have a site plan in front of you.

MR. KESSLER: So your immediate need is to get a subdivision for lot one?

MR. STEINMETZ: And the immediate need is to introduce this because you only have one meeting in the summer of 2023. So I had no choice. I get, I was here in on June 6th and, and David, you can come back on July 25th and the next time you can come back is in September. So why am I here? I'm here so that I can make sure you all know what what's yet to come.

Because throughout the fall of 2023, I want to try to go through the site plan process

2.0

2.3

2.4

after seven years and we ought to be able to do
that fairly expeditiously. So the first thing
that I was able to file so that I didn't just
walk in here with nothing was a, was a
subdivision because I know where my lots lay out
and I wanted to have an intelligent and
meaningful conversation with, and I wanted,
Steve, you, you know me way too well. I wanted to
introduce the project, with all due respect to
three new board members who haven't lived through
this.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah. But again, that, that's not what's presented on our agenda tonight, that project.

MR. STEINMETZ: So I think our cover letter introduced the fact that we were going to be conceptually discussing it. So I can't tell you how your staff prepared the agenda, but I announced in my cover letter what we were coming in to do tonight. So we're here to talk about the subdivision and conceptually discuss the uses within it so that the folks on the board did not think we were wasting their time just whacking up

1 July 25, 2023 28 acres because it's fun to come to a planning 2 board meeting. We're here because I know 3 ultimately I'm going to have to have a 6.03-acre 4 5 lot for an assisted living and I'm going to need the balance of the property subdivided so that I 6 7 can ultimately develop the lots that the town board concluded were appropriate and did not 8 9 cause an a significant adverse impact. So I don't want to talk in circles and I 10 11 don't want to create problems for the board and I 12 hope I'm being fairly clear to the others who 13 are, are not climbing on me like the chair. But 14 you know, it's another night in Courtlandt for 15 me, so. 16 MR. KESSLER: Okay, well obviously we 17 have to refer this back to staff. 18 MR. STEINMETZ: Yes. 19 MR. KESSLER: To review. Yes. And 2.0 obviously revisit this at the next meeting.

> MR. KESSLER: And, and with your full presentation.

MR. STEINMETZ: So we look forward to

21

22

2.3

2.4

coming --

2.3

2.4

MR. STEINMETZ: We look forward to coming back with our full presentation. We hope that your board understands --

MR. KESSLER: But these are two, two separate applications, I understand that.

MR. STEINMETZ: Of course.

MR. KEHOE: But, but I guess one thing that I'm wondering about and I think this was maybe mentioned at the work session, is you've now underlaid the subdivision, but if you come back next month with the site plan, the, the two things will just sort of run concurrently.

There's no necessity that the site, that the subdivision be signed off and approved before they start analyzing the assisted living.

MR. STEINMETZ: So the answer to that, and I very much appreciate that, because I think that is certainly a germane question. In light of the legal situation with the contract vendee and other situations impacting the property, if I learned that the review of the site plan was going to take longer than we all think it, it will or should, then I might ask to complete the

2.3

2.4

subdivision piece first. And I'm allowed to do that. I own -- my client owns the real estate, I'm going to comply with the town's code. I'm going to comply with town law, New York State Town law section 276. And I have every legal right to ask you to create lots, even if I haven't finalized my site plan. I'd rather they dovetail and be working together. And I had hoped that we could file our site plan application formally on the assisted living at a minimum tonight.

But again, and I, I mean no disrespect and I'm not trying to be difficult to you, Steve, I only had one meeting in the summer and we didn't want to waste the opportunity to come here and have a dialogue and, and introduce this so that when we get here in September, at least everybody has an understanding of Evergreen Manor, an understanding of the uses that are going to be on this site, understands what we've been through.

We, we've spent a ton of time on traffic and adaptive, adaptive traffic signals at

2.3

multiple intersections, which you don't need to concern yourselves with. But if people wanted to ask about that and wanted to understand this, I'm not going to preclude them from doing that. And I had one meeting in a 60-day period and my clients are paying taxes and have been waiting seven years to get to this moment in time.

MR. KESSLER: Well that was with the town board, not with us.

MR. STEINMETZ: I, I you said it not me.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. No, you, you raised the seven year issue, I didn't.

MR. STEINMETZ: So, we will be back in September. If anyone has questions on, on our lots, or on the road or on the sidewalk or the lighting, those are all subdivision issues. Matt Steinberg is more than happy to discuss the road, the grade of the road, why it's located, why it has the little curve, why it has a cul-de-sac, the fact that the cul-de-sac meets municipal specifications, the fact that a fire engine can get in, turn around and get out. I'm happy to hit all of that. But if you're all good with that,

1	July 25, 2023
2	then that I can check off is one of the reasons
3	why we showed up on July 25, 2023 so that in
4	September we can hit third gear or maybe fourth
5	gear and move forward on the site plans.
6	MR. BIANCHI: This is not a subdivision
7	issue I guess, but has the question of
8	affordability come up?
9	MR. STEINMETZ: If the chairman allows
10	me to answer it, I'm happy to.
11	MR. KESSLER: Whatever I say, never
12	stops you.
13	MR. STEINMETZ: For the three new board
14	members, in fairness, I should say Steve and I
15	have been doing this together for how, for, for
16	way too many years, over 30. The answer Mr.
17	Bianchi is yes, we are required to have a ten
18	percent affordability on
19	MR. BIANCHI: That's, I thought, okay.
20	MR. STEINMETZ: On the on the, on the
21	MR. BIANCHI: Townhouse.
22	MR. STEINMETZ: townhouse unit lots.
23	We are also going to have issues to deal with
24	with your board and the town and staff on

1	July 25, 2023
2	recreation fee and the recreational amenities
3	that will be incorporated into the assisted
4	living that I'm not allowed to talk about. So
5	I'll talk about those, I'll save that for
6	September when I have a good full list of all
7	those amenities. But
8	MR. KESSLER: Coming attractions.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: Exactly. Why, why not
10	leave a little cliffhanger? We're really excited
11	to talk about sorry, I can't talk about it.
12	MR. KESSLER: Alright. Any, any more
13	comments from staff or the board? If not, Nora?
14	MS. HILDINGER: I make a motion to refer
15	back.
16	MR. KESSLER: Second please.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
18	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
19	favor?
20	MULTIPLE: Aye.
21	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
22	MR. STEINMETZ: See you in September. Is
23	that like a song or something?
24	MR. KESSLER: Always a pleasure, yes.

(The public board meeting concluded at 8:42 p.m.)

7

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the planning board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on July 25, 2023 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Phlot

Date: August 24, 2023

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018